GOLDMAN SACHS BANK USA AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Financial Statements
As of and for the years ended
December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013

March 30, 2015

To the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, Federal Reserve Bank of New York, New York State Department of Financial Services and the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of Goldman Sachs Bank USA (the "Bank")

Management's Assessment of Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The management of the Bank is responsible for (i) preparing the Bank's annual financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and (ii) establishing and maintaining an adequate internal control structure and procedures for financial reporting, including controls over the preparation of regulatory financial statements in accordance with the instructions for the Call Report.

The Bank's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed under the supervision of the Bank's principal executive and principal financial officers to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of reliable financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and the instructions for the Call Report, and financial statements for regulatory reporting purposes.

The Bank's internal control over financial reporting includes policies and procedures that: (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect transactions and dispositions of assets of the Bank; (ii) provide reasonable assurances that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and financial statements for regulatory reporting purposes, and that receipts and expenditures of the Bank are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Bank; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention, or timely detection and correction, of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the Bank's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness of future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies and procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of the Bank's internal control over financial reporting, including controls over the preparation of regulatory financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and the instructions for the Call Report, as of December 31, 2014, based on the framework established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework (2013)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

Based upon its assessment, management has concluded that, as of December 31, 2014, the Bank's internal control over financial reporting, including controls over the preparation of regulatory financial statements

in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and the instructions for the Call Report, is effective based on the criteria established in Internal Control – Integrated Framework.

Management's assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, including controls over the preparation of regulatory financial statements in accordance with the instructions for the Call Report, as of December 31, 2014, has been audited by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an independent public accounting firm, as stated in their report dated March 30, 2015.

Management's Assessment of Compliance with Designated Laws and Regulations

The management of the Bank is responsible for complying with Federal laws and regulations pertaining to insider loans and Federal and State laws and regulations pertaining to dividend restrictions.

The management of the Bank has assessed the Bank's compliance with the Federal laws and regulations pertaining to insider loans and the Federal and State laws and regulations pertaining to dividend restrictions during the fiscal year that ended on December 31, 2014. Based upon such assessment, management has concluded that the Bank has complied, in all material respects, with the Federal laws and regulations pertaining to insider loans and the Federal and State laws and regulations pertaining to dividend restrictions during the fiscal year that ended on December 31, 2014.

Chief Executive Officer

Esta Stecher

Goldman Sachs Bank USA

Chief Financial Officer

Carey Halio

Goldman Sachs Bank USA



Independent Auditor's Report

To the Board of Directors and Shareholder of Goldman Sachs Bank USA

We have audited the accompanying consolidated financial statements of Goldman Sachs Bank USA and its subsidiaries (the "Bank"), which comprise the consolidated statements of financial condition as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of earnings, changes in shareholder's equity and cash flows for the years then ended. We also have audited the Bank's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over financial reporting relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to error or fraud. Management is also responsible for its assertion about the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included under the heading "Management's Assessment of Internal Control over Financial Reporting" in the accompanying Management Report.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the consolidated financial statements and an opinion on the Bank's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits. We conducted our audits of the consolidated financial statements in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and our audit of internal control over financial reporting in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects.

An audit of financial statements involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. The procedures selected depend on our judgment, including assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, we consider internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances. An audit of financial statements also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements. An audit of internal control over financial reporting involves obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.



We believe that the audit evidence we obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinions.

Definition and Inherent Limitations of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process effected by those charged with governance, management, and other personnel, designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the preparation of reliable financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Because management's assessment and our audit were conducted to meet the reporting requirements of Section 112 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act (FDICIA), our audit of the Bank's internal control over financial reporting included controls over the preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and with the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council Instructions for Consolidated Reports of Condition and Income. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the entity; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and that receipts and expenditures of the entity are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and those charged with governance; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention, or timely detection and correction of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the entity's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent, or detect and correct misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Opinions

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Bank as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the Bank maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013)* issued by COSO.

Other Matter

We have not examined management's assertion regarding its compliance with laws and regulations concerning loans to insiders and federal and state laws and regulations concerning dividend restrictions.

March 30, 2015

Pricewaterhouse Coopers LLP

GOLDMAN SACHS BANK USA AND SUBSIDIARIES

Financial Statements

INDEX

		Page No.
Consolid	lated Financial Statements	
Consolid	ated Statements of Earnings	2
Consolid	ated Statements of Financial Condition	3
Consolid	ated Statements of Changes in Shareholder's Equity	4
Consolid	ated Statements of Cash Flows	5
Notes to	Consolidated Financial Statements	
Note 1.	Description of Business	6
Note 2.	Basis of Presentation	6
Note 3.	Significant Accounting Policies	7
Note 4.	Financial Instruments Owned, at Fair Value and Financial Instruments Sold, But Not Yet Purchased, at Fair Value	11
Note 5.	Fair Value Measurements	12
Note 6.	Cash Instruments	14
Note 7.	Derivatives and Hedging Activities	21
Note 8.	Fair Value Option	35
Note 9.	Loans Receivable	40
Note 10.	Collateralized Agreements and Financings	42
Note 11.	Variable Interest Entities	45
Note 12.	Other Assets	47
Note 13.	Deposits	47
Note 14.	Borrowings	48
Note 15.	Other Liabilities and Accrued Expenses	48
Note 16.	Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees	49
Note 17.	Legal Proceedings	54
Note 18.	Regulation and Capital Adequacy	54
Note 19.	Transactions with Related Parties	58
Note 20.	Interest Income and Interest Expense	60
Note 21.	Employee Incentive Plans and Employee Benefit Plans	60
Note 22.	Income Taxes	62
Note 23.	Credit Concentrations	63
Note 24.	Subsequent Events	64

GOLDMAN SACHS BANK USA AND SUBSIDIARIES Consolidated Statements of Earnings

	Yea	r Ended	Decer	nber
\$ in millions	-	2014		2013
Revenues				
Interest income	\$	1,454	\$	1,100
Interest expense		414		415
Net interest income		1,040		685
Gains and losses from financial instruments, net		1,831		2,415
Other revenues		190		92
Total non-interest revenues		2,021		2,507
Net revenues, including net interest income		3,061		3,192
Operating expenses				
Compensation and benefits		140		78
Service charges		485		580
Other expenses		186		195
Total operating expenses		811		853
Pre-tax earnings		2,250		2,339
Provision for taxes		930		955
Net earnings	\$	1,320	\$	1,384

GOLDMAN SACHS BANK USA AND SUBSIDIARIES Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition

		As of Dec	ecember		
\$ in millions, except share and per share amounts		2014		2013	
Assets					
Cash	\$	39,856	\$	51,124	
Collateralized agreements:					
Securities purchased under agreements to resell (includes \$4,232 and \$1,330 at fair value as of December 2014 and December 2013, respectively)		5,775		1,804	
Receivables: Loans receivable		25,285		13,107	
Receivables from customers and counterparties, brokers, dealers and clearing organizations		6,401		6,751	
Financial instruments owned, at fair value (includes \$4,976 and \$7,443 pledged as collateral as of December 2014 and December 2013, respectively)		39,363		31,762	
Other assets (includes \$7 at fair value as of December 2013)		1,503		1,001	
Total assets	\$	118,183	\$	105,549	
Collateralized financings:	Ψ_	,	Ψ_	,	
respectively)	\$	73,250	\$	64,392	
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase, at fair value		6,578		6,983	
Other secured financings (includes \$78 and \$65 at fair value as of December 2014 and				0,000	
December 2013, respectively)		142		142	
Payables: Payables to customers and counterparties, brokers, dealers and clearing organizations		3.511		2.607	
Financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased, at fair value		8,488		9,234	
Subordinated borrowings		2,000			
Other liabilities and accrued expenses (includes \$143 and \$120 at fair value as of December 2014 and December 2013, respectively)		2,712		2,140	
Total liabilities		96,681		85,498	
Commitments, contingencies and guarantees					
Shareholder's equity Shareholder's equity (includes common stock, par value \$100 per share; 80,000,000 shares					
authorized, issued and outstanding as of December 2014 and December 2013)		21,502		20,051	
Total liabilities and shareholder's equity	\$	118,183	\$	105,549	

GOLDMAN SACHS BANK USA AND SUBSIDIARIES Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholder's Equity

	 Year End	led Dece	ember
\$ in millions	2014		2013
Shareholder's equity			
Shareholder's equity, beginning of year	\$ 20,051	\$	20,667
Net earnings	1,320		1,384
Capital contributions from The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. 1	131		-
Dividends paid to The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.	-		(2,000)
Shareholder's equity, end of year	\$ 21,502	\$	20,051

^{1.} Capital contributions for 2014 were non-cash. See Note 19 for further information.

GOLDMAN SACHS BANK USA AND SUBSIDIARIES Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

	 Year Ende	d December		
\$ in millions	2014		2013	
Cash flows from operating activities				
Net earnings	\$ 1,320	\$	1,384	
Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by/(used for) operating activities				
Depreciation and amortization	2		2	
Deferred income taxes	(74)		(60)	
Share-based compensation	18		7	
Changes in operating assets and liabilities				
Receivables and payables (excluding loans receivable), net	1,254		(4,725)	
Collateralized transactions (excluding other secured financings), net	(4,376)		(8,198)	
Financial instruments owned, at fair value	(7,609)		14,780	
Financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased, at fair value	(746)		(1,058)	
Other, net	662		(343)	
Net cash provided by/(used for) operating activities	(9,549)		1,789	
Cash flows from investing activities				
Loans receivable, net	(12,178)		(7,138)	
Net cash used for investing activities	(12,178)		(7,138)	
Cash flows from financing activities				
Deposits, net	8,686		(1,393)	
Proceeds from issuance of subordinated borrowings	2,000		_	
Dividends paid to The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc.	-		(2,000)	
Other, net	(227)		424	
Net cash provided by/(used for) financing activities	10,459		(2,969)	
Net decrease in cash	(11,268)		(8,318)	
Cash, beginning of year	51,124		59,442	
Cash, end of year	\$ 39,856	\$	51,124	

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES:

Cash payments for interest were \$383 million and \$335 million for 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Cash payments for income taxes, net of refunds, were \$357 million and \$908 million for 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Note 1. **Description of Business**

Goldman Sachs Bank USA, together with its consolidated subsidiaries (collectively, the Bank), is a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. (Group Inc.), a bank holding company under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (BHC Act) and a financial holding company under the amendments to the BHC Act effected by the U.S. Gramm Leach Bliley Act of 1999. The Bank is a New York state-chartered bank and a member of the Federal Reserve System. It is supervised by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Federal Reserve Board), the New York State Department of Financial Services and the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, and is a member of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). As a registered swap dealer, the Bank is also regulated by the U.S. Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

In March 2013, the Financial Services Authority authorized the Bank to operate a branch in London, United Kingdom (the London Branch). The London Branch is regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority and the Prudential Regulation Authority.

The Bank's activities include the acceptance of client and brokered deposits; lending in the form of bank and bridge loans and mortgage loans to private wealth management, institutional and corporate clients, and other counterparties; entering into interest rate, credit, currency and other derivatives; agency lending and facilitating client transactions and making markets in fixed income products.

The following activities are conducted in the Bank's significant operating subsidiaries:

Goldman Sachs Mitsui Marine Derivative Products, L.P. (MMDP), a Delaware limited partnership, acts as an intermediary in transactions involving derivative contracts. MMDP is able to provide credit rating enhancement to derivative products due to its partnership with an external party, Mitsui Sumitomo Insurance Co., Ltd. (Mitsui Sumitomo).

Goldman Sachs Mortgage Company, a New York limited partnership, originates commercial mortgage loans and purchases commercial and residential mortgage loans and other consumer loan assets for securitization and market-making. It also provides warehouse financing to third parties.

All subsidiaries of the Bank are wholly-owned by the Bank, with the exception of MMDP, in which Mitsui Sumitomo has a 50% interest.

As a condition of the Bank's reorganization in November 2008, Group Inc. agreed to guarantee certain losses, including credit-related losses, relating to assets contributed by Group Inc. on the date of the reorganization (the Guarantee). Group Inc. also agreed to pledge to the Bank certain collateral, including interests in subsidiaries and other illiquid assets. See Note 19 for further discussion of the Guarantee and other transactions with affiliates.

Note 2. Basis of Presentation

These consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (U.S. GAAP) and include the accounts of the Bank and all other entities in which the Bank has a controlling financial interest. Intercompany transactions and balances within the Bank have been eliminated.

All references to 2014 and 2013 refer to the Bank's years ended, or the dates, as the context requires, December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. Any reference to a future year refers to a year ending on December 31 of that year. Certain reclassifications have been made to previously reported amounts to conform to the current presentation.

Note 3. Significant Accounting Policies

The Bank's significant accounting policies include when and how to measure the fair value of assets and liabilities and when to consolidate an entity. See Notes 5 through 8 for policies on fair value measurements, and below and Note 11 for policies on consolidation accounting. All other significant accounting policies are either discussed below or included in the following footnotes:

Financial Instruments Owned, at Fair Value and Financial Instruments Sold, But Not Yet	
Purchased, at Fair Value	Note 4
Fair Value Measurements	Note 5
Cash Instruments	Note 6
Derivatives and Hedging Activities	Note 7
Fair Value Option	Note 8
Loans Receivable	Note 9
Collateralized Agreements and Financings	Note 10
Variable Interest Entities	Note 11
Other Assets	Note 12
Deposits	Note 13
Borrowings	Note 14
Other Liabilities and Accrued Expenses	Note 15
Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees	Note 16
Legal Proceedings	Note 17
Regulation and Capital Adequacy	Note 18
Transactions with Related Parties	Note 19
Interest Income and Interest Expense	Note 20
Employee Incentive Plans and Employee Benefit Plans	Note 21
Income Taxes	Note 22
Credit Concentrations	Note 23

Consolidation

The Bank consolidates entities in which the Bank has a controlling financial interest. The Bank determines whether it has a controlling financial interest in an entity by first evaluating whether the entity is a voting interest entity or a variable interest entity (VIE).

Voting Interest Entities. Voting interest entities are entities in which (i) the total equity investment at risk is sufficient to enable the entity to finance its activities independently, and (ii) the equity holders have the power to direct the activities of the entity that most significantly impact its economic performance, the obligation to absorb the losses of the entity and the right to receive the residual returns of the entity. The usual condition for a controlling financial interest in a voting interest entity is ownership of a majority voting interest. If the Bank has a majority voting interest in a voting interest entity, the entity is consolidated.

Variable Interest Entities. A VIE is an entity that lacks one or more of the characteristics of a voting interest entity. The Bank has a controlling financial interest in a VIE when the Bank has a variable interest or interests that provide it with (i) the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance, and (ii) the obligation to absorb losses of the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE. See Note 11 for further information about VIEs.

Use of Estimates

Preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions, the most important of which relate to fair value measurements and the provisions for losses that may arise from litigation, regulatory proceedings and tax audits. These estimates and assumptions are based on the best available information but actual results could be materially different.

Revenue Recognition

Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities at Fair Value

Financial instruments owned, at fair value and Financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased, at fair value are recorded at fair value either under the fair value option or in accordance with other U.S. GAAP. In addition, the Bank has elected to account for certain of its other financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value by electing the fair value option. The fair value of a financial instrument is the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Financial assets are marked to bid prices and financial liabilities are marked to offer prices. Fair value measurements do not include transaction costs. Fair value gains or losses are included in "Gains and losses from financial instruments, net." See Notes 5 through 8 for further information about fair value measurements.

Transfers of Assets

Transfers of assets are accounted for as sales when the Bank has relinquished control over the assets transferred. For transfers of assets accounted for as sales, any related gains or losses are recognized in net revenues. Assets or liabilities that arise from the Bank's continuing involvement with transferred assets are measured at fair value. For transfers of assets that are not accounted for as sales, the assets remain in "Financial instruments owned, at fair value" or "Loans receivable" and the transfer is accounted for as a collateralized financing, with the related interest expense recognized over the life of the transaction. See Note10 for further information about transfers of assets accounted for as collateralized financings.

Securitization Activities

The Bank transfers portfolios of commercial mortgages to its affiliates for purposes of securitization. The Bank accounts for the transfer as a sale when it has relinquished control over the transferred assets. The Bank accounts for assets pending transfer at fair value and therefore does not typically recognize significant gains or losses upon the transfer of assets. The Bank generally receives cash in exchange for the transferred assets. As of December 2014 and December 2013, the Bank had no continuing involvement with transferred assets.

Cash

Cash is comprised of highly liquid overnight deposits held in the ordinary course of business. As of December 2014 and December 2013, cash included \$39.24 billion and \$51.09 billion, respectively, of interest-bearing deposits with banks. Of these amounts, \$38.68 billion and \$50.39 billion was held at the Federal Reserve Bank. As of December 2014 and December 2013, cash held at the Federal Reserve Bank exceeded regulatory reserve requirements of \$111 million and \$97 million, respectively. As of December 2014 and December 2013, cash included \$173 million and \$108 million, respectively, of restricted cash from cash collateral for which the Bank does not have the right to deliver or repledge.

Receivables from Customers and Counterparties, Brokers, Dealers and Clearing Organizations

Receivables from customers and counterparties, brokers, dealers and clearing organizations are primarily comprised of collateral posted in connection with certain derivative transactions and receivables related to pending unsettled trades. Receivables from customers and counterparties, brokers, dealers and clearing organizations are accounted for at amortized cost net of estimated uncollectible amounts, which generally approximates fair value. While these receivables are carried at amounts that approximate fair value, they are not accounted for at fair value under the fair value option or at fair value in accordance with other U.S. GAAP and therefore are not included in the Bank's fair value hierarchy in Notes 6 through 8. Had these receivables been included in the Bank's fair value hierarchy, substantially all would have been classified in level 2 as of December 2014 and December 2013. Interest on receivables from customers and counterparties, brokers, dealers and clearing organizations is recognized over the life of the transaction and included in "Interest income."

Payables to Customers and Counterparties, Brokers, Dealers and Clearing Organizations

Payables to customers and counterparties, brokers, dealers and clearing organizations primarily consist collateralized payables related to client transactions including collateral received in connection with certain derivative transactions. Payables to customers and counterparties, brokers, dealers and clearing organizations are accounted for at cost plus accrued interest, which generally approximates fair value. While these payables are carried at amounts that approximate fair value, they are not accounted for at fair value under the fair value option or at fair value in accordance with other U.S. GAAP and therefore are not included in the Bank's fair value hierarchy in Notes 6 through 8. Had these payables been carried at fair value and included in the Bank's fair value hierarchy. substantially all would have been classified in level 2 as of December 2014 and December 2013. Interest on payables to customers and counterparties, brokers, dealers and clearing organizations is recognized over the life of the transaction and included in "Interest expense."

Offsetting Assets and Liabilities

To reduce credit exposures on derivatives and securities financing transactions, the Bank may enter into master netting agreements or similar arrangements (collectively, netting agreements) with counterparties that permit it to offset receivables and payables with such counterparties. A netting agreement is a contract with a counterparty that permits net settlement of multiple transactions with that counterparty, including upon the exercise of termination rights by a non-defaulting party. Upon exercise of such termination rights, all transactions governed by the netting agreement are terminated and a net settlement amount is calculated. In addition, the Bank receives and posts cash and securities collateral with respect to its derivatives and securities financing transactions, subject to the terms of the related credit support agreements or similar arrangements (collectively, credit support agreements). An enforceable credit support agreement grants the non-defaulting party exercising termination rights the right to liquidate the collateral and apply the proceeds to any amounts owed. In order to assess enforceability of the Bank's right of setoff under netting and credit support agreements, the Bank evaluates various factors including applicable bankruptcy laws, local statutes and regulatory provisions in the jurisdiction of the parties to the agreement.

Derivatives are reported on a net-by-counterparty basis (i.e., the net payable or receivable for derivative assets and liabilities for a given counterparty) in the consolidated statements of financial condition when a legal right of setoff exists under an enforceable netting agreement. Resale and repurchase agreements with the same term and currency are presented on a net-by-counterparty basis in the consolidated statements of financial condition when such transactions meet certain settlement criteria and are subject to netting agreements.

In the consolidated statements of financial condition, derivatives are reported net of cash collateral received and posted under enforceable credit support agreements, when transacted under an enforceable netting agreement. In the consolidated statements of financial condition, resale and repurchase agreements are not reported net of the related cash and securities received or posted as collateral. See Note 10 for further information about collateral received and pledged, including rights to deliver or repledge collateral. See Notes 7 and 10 for further information about offsetting.

Foreign Currency Translation

Assets and liabilities denominated in non-U.S. currencies are translated at rates of exchange prevailing on the date of the consolidated statements of financial condition and revenues and expenses are translated at average rates of exchange for the period. Foreign currency remeasurement gains or losses on transactions in nonfunctional currencies are recognized in earnings.

Recent Accounting Developments

Inclusion of the Fed Funds Effective Swap Rate (or Overnight Index Swap Rate) as a Benchmark Interest Rate for Hedge Accounting Purposes (ASC 815). In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU No. 2013-10, "Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815) — Inclusion of the Fed Funds Effective Swap Rate (or Overnight Index Swap Rate) as a Benchmark Interest Rate for Hedge Accounting Purposes." ASU No. 2013-10 permits the use of the Fed Funds Effective Swap Rate (OIS) as a U.S. benchmark interest rate for hedge accounting purposes. The ASU also removes the restriction on using different benchmark rates for similar hedges. ASU No. 2013-10 was effective for qualifying new or redesignated hedging relationships entered into on or after July 17, 2013 and adoption did not materially affect the Bank's financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

Investments - Equity Method and Joint Ventures (ASC 323). In January 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-01, "Investments – Equity Method and Joint Ventures (Topic 323) - Accounting for Investments in Qualified Affordable Housing Projects." ASU No. 2014-01 permits certain investments in qualified affordable housing projects to be accounted for using the proportional amortization method. Under the proportional amortization method, the initial cost of the investment is amortized in proportion to tax credits and other benefits received, and net investment performance amortization in the income statement is recognized as a component of income taxes. The Bank adopted the provisions of ASU No. 2014-01 effective January 1, 2014 and adoption did not materially affect the Bank's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Revenue from Contracts with Customers (ASC 606). In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)." ASU No. 2014-09 provides comprehensive guidance on the recognition of revenue from customers arising from the transfer of goods and services. The ASU also provides guidance on accounting for certain contract costs, and requires new disclosures. ASU No. 2014-09 is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within that reporting period. Early adoption is not permitted. The Bank is still evaluating the effect of the ASU on its financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows.

Repurchase-to-Maturity Transactions, Repurchase Financings, and Disclosures (ASC 860). In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-11, "Transfers and Servicing (Topic 860) — Repurchase-to-Maturity Transactions, Repurchase Financings, and Disclosures." ASU No. 2014-11 changes the accounting for repurchaseand resale-to-maturity agreements by requiring that such agreements be recognized as financing arrangements, and requires that a transfer of a financial asset and a repurchase agreement entered into contemporaneously be accounted for separately. ASU No. 2014-11 also requires additional disclosures about certain transferred financial assets accounted for as sales and certain securities financing transactions. The accounting changes and additional disclosures about certain transferred financial assets accounted for as sales are effective for the first interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2014. The additional disclosures for securities financing transactions are required for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2014 and for interim reporting periods beginning after March 15, 2015. Adoption of the accounting changes in ASU No. 2014-11 on January 1, 2015 did not materially affect the Bank's financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis (ASC **810).** In February 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-02, "Consolidation (Topic 810) — Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis." ASU No. 2015-02 eliminates the deferral of the requirements of ASU No. 2009-17, "Consolidations (Topic 810) — Improvements to Financial Reporting by Enterprises Involved with Variable Interest Entities" for certain interests in investment funds and provides a scope exception from Topic 810 for certain investments in money market funds. The ASU also makes several modifications to the consolidation guidance for VIEs and general partners' investments in limited partnerships, as well as modifications to the evaluation of whether limited partnerships are VIEs or voting interest entities. ASU No. 2015-02 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015. Early adoption is permitted. Adoption of ASU No. 2015-02 is not expected to materially affect the Bank's financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows.

Note 4. Financial Instruments Owned, at Fair Value and Financial Instruments Sold, But Not Yet Purchased, at Fair Value

Financial instruments owned, at fair value and financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased, at fair value are accounted for at fair value either under the fair value option or in accordance with other U.S. GAAP. See Note 8 for further information about other financial assets and financial

liabilities accounted for at fair value primarily under the fair value option. The table below presents the Bank's financial instruments owned, at fair value, including those pledged as collateral, and financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased, at fair value.

	As of D	ecen	nber 2014		_	Aso	of De	ecember 20)13
	Financial		Ins	Financial struments	_	Financial		Instr	Financial uments Sold,
	truments			d, But Not		truments		mour	But Not Yet
\$ in millions	Owned		Yet P	urchased		Owned			Purchased
U.S. government and federal agency obligations	\$ 13,573		\$	2,195		\$ 6,547		\$	3,208
Non-U.S. government and agency obligations	685			64		1,308			47
Mortgage and other asset-backed loans and securities:									
Loans and securities backed by commercial real estate	1,979			_		1,397			_
Loans and securities backed by residential real estate	4,693			_		3,460			2
Bank loans and bridge loans	5,655			346	2	5,467			700 ²
Other	1,607	1		21		1,898	1		8
Subtotal	28,192			2,626		20,077			3,965
Derivatives	11,171			5,862		11,685			5,269
Total	\$ 39,363	•	\$	8,488		\$ 31,762		\$	9,234

^{1.} Primarily consists of other debt obligations and equity investments.

^{2.} Primarily relates to the fair value of unfunded lending commitments for which the fair value option was elected.

Gains and Losses from Financial Instruments, net

The table below presents, by major product type, gains/(losses) related to the Bank's financial instruments owned, at fair value and financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased, at fair value, including both derivative and non-derivative financial instruments. These gains/(losses) are included in "Gains and losses from financial instruments, net" and exclude related interest income and interest expense. See Note 20 for further information about interest income and interest expense.

The gains/(losses) in the table are not representative of the manner in which the Bank manages its activities because many of the Bank's market-making, lending and other activities utilize financial instruments across various product types. Accordingly, gains or losses in one product type frequently offset gains or losses in other product types. For example, many of the Bank's interest rate derivatives are sensitive to changes in foreign currency exchange rates and may be economically hedged with foreign currency contracts.

\$ in millions	Year Ended December						
Product Type	2014	2014 20					
Interest rates	\$ (3,805)	\$	429				
Currencies	4,278		567				
Credit	1,342		1,419				
Equities	16		_				
Total	\$ 1,831	\$	2,415				

Note 5. Fair Value Measurements

The fair value of a financial instrument is the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Financial assets are marked to bid prices and financial liabilities are marked to offer prices. Fair value measurements do not include transaction costs. The Bank measures certain financial assets and financial liabilities as a portfolio (i.e., based on its net exposure to market and/or credit risks).

The best evidence of fair value is a quoted price in an active market. If quoted prices in active markets are not available, fair value is determined by reference to prices for similar instruments, quoted prices or recent transactions in less active markets, or internally developed models that primarily use market-based or independently sourced parameters as inputs, including, but not limited to, interest rates, volatilities, debt prices, foreign exchange rates, credit spreads and funding spreads (i.e., the spread, or difference, between the interest rate at which a borrower could finance a given financial instrument relative to a benchmark interest rate).

U.S. GAAP has a three-level fair value hierarchy for disclosure of fair value measurements. The fair value hierarchy prioritizes inputs to the valuation techniques used to measure fair value, giving the highest priority to level 1 inputs and the lowest priority to level 3 inputs. A financial instrument's level in the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to its fair value measurement.

The fair value hierarchy is as follows:

Level 1. Inputs are unadjusted quoted prices in active markets to which the Bank had access at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities.

Level 2. Inputs to valuation techniques are observable, either directly or indirectly.

Level 3. One or more inputs to valuation techniques are significant and unobservable.

GOLDMAN SACHS BANK USA AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The fair values for substantially all of the Bank's financial assets and financial liabilities are based on observable prices and inputs and are classified in levels 1 and 2 of the fair value hierarchy. Certain level 2 and level 3 financial assets and financial liabilities may require appropriate valuation adjustments that a market participant would require to arrive at fair value for factors such as the counterparty and the Bank or its affiliates' credit quality, funding risk, transfer restrictions, liquidity and bid/offer spreads. Valuation adjustments are generally based on market evidence.

See Notes 6 through 8 for further information about fair value measurements of cash instruments, derivatives and other financial assets and financial liabilities accounted for at fair value primarily under the fair value option (including information about unrealized gains and losses related to level 3 financial assets and financial liabilities, and transfers in and out of level 3), respectively.

The table below presents financial assets and financial liabilities accounted for at fair value under the fair value option or in accordance with other U.S. GAAP. In the table below, counterparty and cash collateral netting represents the impact on derivatives of netting across levels of the fair value hierarchy. Netting among positions classified in the same level is included in that level.

		As of De	cer	nber
\$ in millions		2014		2013
Total level 1 financial assets	\$	5,088	\$	7,170
Total level 2 financial assets		96,966		83,189
Total level 3 financial assets		4,151		4,817
Counterparty and cash collateral netting		(62,610)		(62,077)
Total financial assets at fair value	\$	43,595	\$	33,099
Total assets	\$	118,183	\$	105,549
Total level 3 financial assets as a percentage of				
Total assets		3.5%		4.6%
Total level 3 financial assets as a percentage of				
Total financial assets at fair value		9.5%		14.6%
Total level 1 financial liabilities	\$	2,254	\$	3,255
Total level 2 financial liabilities		47,197		34,328
Total level 3 financial liabilities		2,027		1,589
Counterparty and cash collateral netting		(30,317)		(20,395)
Total financial liabilities at fair value	\$	21,161	\$	18,777
Total level 3 financial liabilities as a percentage of	of			
Total financial liabilities at fair value		9.6%		8.5%

Note 6.

Cash Instruments

Cash instruments include U.S. government and federal agency obligations, non-U.S. government and agency obligations, mortgage and other asset-backed loans and securities, bank loans and bridge loans and other non-derivative financial instruments owned and financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased. See below for the types of cash instruments included in each level of the fair value hierarchy and the valuation techniques and significant inputs used to determine their fair values. See Note 5 for an overview of the Bank's fair value measurement policies.

Level 1 Cash Instruments

Level 1 cash instruments include certain U.S. government and federal agency obligations and non-U.S. government and agency obligations. These instruments are valued using quoted prices for identical unrestricted instruments in active markets.

The Bank defines active markets for debt instruments based on both the average daily trading volume and the number of days with trading activity.

Level 2 Cash Instruments

Level 2 cash instruments include certain U.S. government and federal agency obligations, certain mortgage and other asset-backed loans and securities, certain bank loans and bridge loans and certain lending commitments.

Valuations of level 2 cash instruments can be verified to quoted prices, recent trading activity for identical or similar instruments, broker or dealer quotations or alternative pricing sources with reasonable levels of price transparency. Consideration is given to the nature of the quotations (e.g., indicative or firm) and the relationship of recent market activity to the prices provided from alternative pricing sources.

Valuation adjustments are typically made to level 2 cash instruments (i) if the cash instrument is subject to transfer restrictions and/or (ii) for other premiums and liquidity discounts that a market participant would require to arrive at fair value. Valuation adjustments are generally based on market evidence.

Level 3 Cash Instruments

Level 3 cash instruments have one or more significant valuation inputs that are not observable. Absent evidence to the contrary, level 3 cash instruments are initially valued at transaction price, which is considered to be the best initial estimate of fair value. Subsequently, the Bank uses other methodologies to determine fair value, which vary based on the type of instrument. Valuation inputs and assumptions are changed when corroborated by substantive observable evidence, including values realized on sales of financial assets.

Valuation Techniques and Significant Inputs

The table below presents the valuation techniques and the nature of significant inputs. These valuation techniques and

significant inputs are generally used to determine the fair values of most types of Bank's level 3 cash instruments.

Level 3 Cash Instruments	Valuation Techniques and Significant Inputs					
Loans and securities backed by commercial real estate	Valuation techniques vary by instrument, but are generally based on discounted cash flow techniques. Significant inputs are generally determined based on relative value analyses and include:					
 Collateralized by a single commercial real estate property or a portfolio of properties 	 Transaction prices in both the underlying collateral and instruments with the same or similar underlying collateral and the basis, or price difference, to such prices 					
May include tranches of varying levels of subordination	 Market yields implied by transactions of similar or related assets and/or current levels and changes in market indices such as the CMBX (an index that tracks the performance of commercial mortgage bonds) 					
	 A measure of expected future cash flows in a default scenario (recovery rates), implied by the value of the underlying collateral, which is mainly driven by current performance of the underlying collateral, capitalization rates and multiples. Recovery rates are expressed as a percentage of notional or face value of the instrument and reflect the benefit of credit enhancements on certain instruments 					
	• Timing of expected future cash flows (duration) which, in certain cases, may incorporate the impact of other unobservable inputs (e.g., prepayment speeds)					
Loans and securities backed by residential	Valuation techniques vary by instrument, but are generally based on discounted cash flow techniques.					
real estate • Collateralized by portfolios of	Significant inputs are generally determined based on relative value analyses, which incorporate comparisons to instruments with similar collateral and risk profiles. Significant inputs include:					
residential real estate • May include tranches of varying levels of subordination	Transaction prices in both the underlying collateral and instruments with the same or similar underlying collateral					
	Market yields implied by transactions of similar or related assets					
	 Cumulative loss expectations, driven by default rates, home price projections, residential property liquidation timelines and related costs 					
	Duration, driven by underlying loan prepayment speeds and residential property liquidation timelines					
Bank loans and bridge loans	Valuation techniques vary by instrument, but are generally based on discounted cash flow techniques.					
	Significant inputs are generally determined based on relative value analyses, which incorporate comparisons both to prices of credit default swaps that reference the same or similar underlying instrument or entity and to other debt instruments for the same issuer for which observable prices or broker quotations are available. Significant inputs include:					
	 Market yields implied by transactions of similar or related assets and/or current levels and trends of market indices such as CDX and LCDX (indices that track the performance of corporate credit and loans, respectively) 					
	 Current performance and recovery assumptions and, where the Bank uses credit default swaps to value the related cash instrument, the cost of borrowing the underlying reference obligation 					
	Duration					
Other debt obligations	Valuation techniques vary by instrument, but are generally based on discounted cash flow techniques.					
-	Significant inputs are generally determined based on relative value analyses, which incorporate comparisons both to prices of credit default swaps that reference the same or similar underlying instrument or entity and to other debt instruments for the same issuer for which observable prices or broker quotations are available. Significant inputs include:					
	 Market yields implied by transactions of similar or related assets and/or current levels and trends of market indices such as CDX, LCDX and MCDX (an index that tracks the performance of municipal obligations) 					
	 Current performance and recovery assumptions and, where the Bank uses credit default swaps to value the related cash instrument, the cost of borrowing the underlying reference obligation 					
	• Duration					

Significant Unobservable Inputs

The tables below present the ranges of significant unobservable inputs used to value the Bank's level 3 cash instruments. These ranges represent the significant unobservable inputs that were used in the valuation of each type of cash instrument. Weighted averages in the tables below are calculated by weighting each input by the relative fair value of the respective financial instruments. The ranges and weighted averages of these inputs are not representative of the appropriate inputs to use when calculating the fair value of any one cash instrument. For example, the highest

recovery rate presented in the tables below for bank loans and bridge loans is appropriate for valuing a specific loan but may not be appropriate for valuing any other bank loans or bridge loans. Accordingly, the ranges of inputs presented below do not represent uncertainty in, or possible ranges of, fair value measurements of the Bank's level 3 cash instruments. Significant input types which are only relevant to a single instrument have been excluded from the tables below.

Level 3 Cash Instruments	Level 3 Assets as of December 2014 (\$ in millions)	Valuation Techniques and Significant Unobservable Inputs	Range of Significant Unobservable Inputs (Weighted Average) as of December 2014
Loans and securities backed by commercial real estate Collateralized by a single commercial real estate property or a portfolio of properties May include tranches of varying levels of subordination	\$584	Discounted cash flows: • Yield • Duration (years)	3.2% to 10.1% (7.1%) 0.4 to 2.4 (1.4)
Loans and securities backed by residential real estate Collateralized by portfolios of residential real estate May include tranches of varying levels of subordination	\$47	Discounted cash flows: • Yield • Cumulative loss rate • Duration (years)	4.5% to 9.0% (8.2%) 10.4% to 11.2% (11.1%) 1.8 to 5.4 (2.4)
Bank loans and bridge loans	\$2,165	Discounted cash flows: • Yield • Recovery rate • Duration (years)	1.4% to 17.6% (5.6%) 40.0% to 85.0% (62.0%) 1.1 to 5.0 (2.4)
Other ¹	\$312	Discounted cash flows: • Yield/Discount rate • Duration (years)	2.6% to 13.6% (5.1%) 2.9 to 3.5 (3.4)

^{1.} Primarily consists of other debt obligations and equity investments.

GOLDMAN SACHS BANK USA AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Level 3 Cash Instruments Loans and securities backed by commercial real estate Collateralized by a single commercial real estate property or a portfolio of properties May include tranches of varying levels of subordination	Level 3 Assets as of December 2013 (\$ in millions) \$760	Valuation Techniques and Significant Unobservable Inputs Discounted cash flows: • Yield • Duration (years)	Range of Significant Unobservable Inputs (Weighted Average) as of December 2013 4.0% to 10.5% (6.9%) 2.1 to 3.4 (2.7)
Loans and securities backed by residential real estate Collateralized by portfolios of residential real estate May include tranches of varying levels of subordination	\$63	Discounted cash flows: • Yield • Cumulative loss rate • Duration (years)	5.8% to 8.9% (8.0%) 8.5% to 17.1% (14.6%) 2.3 to 5.0 (3.1)
Bank loans and bridge loans	\$2,105	Discounted cash flows: • Yield • Recovery rate • Duration (years)	1.4% to 11.9% (4.2%) 40.0% to 85.0% (56.6%) 0.9 to 5.3 (2.6)
Other ¹	\$308	Discounted cash flows: • Yield	2.7% to 13.0% (5.4%)

^{1.} Primarily consists of other debt obligations and equity investments.

Increases in yield, duration or cumulative loss rate used in the valuation of the Bank's level 3 cash instruments would result in a lower fair value measurement, while an increase in recovery rate would result in a higher fair value measurement. Due to the distinctive nature of each of the Bank's level 3 cash instruments, the interrelationship of inputs is not necessarily uniform within each product type.

Fair Value of Cash Instruments by Level

The tables below present, by level within the fair value hierarchy, cash instrument assets and liabilities, at fair value. Cash instrument assets and liabilities are included in "Financial instruments owned, at fair value" and "Financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased, at fair value," respectively. There were no transfers during the year between Level 1 and Level 2 cash instrument assets or liabilities.

<u>-</u>	Cash Instru	ıment A	ssets at Fair \	/alue as		er 2014	
\$ in millions	Level 1		Level 2		Level 3		Total
U.S. government and federal agency obligations	\$ 4,403	\$	9,170	\$	-	\$	13,573
Non-U.S. government and agency obligations	685		-				685
Mortgage and other asset-backed loans and securities:							
Loans and securities backed by							
commercial real estate			1,395		584		1,979
Loans and securities backed by							
residential real estate	_		4,646		47		4,693
Bank loans and bridge loans	-		3,490		2,165		5,655
Other ¹	-		1,295		312		1,607
Total	\$ 5,088	\$	19,996	\$	3,108	\$	28,192
	Cash Instru	ment Lia	abilities at Fai	r Value	as of Decer	nber 201	14
\$ in millions	Level 1		Level 2		Level 3		Total
U.S. government and federal agency obligations	\$ 2,195	\$	_	\$	-	\$	2,195
Non-U.S. government and agency obligations	59		5		-		64
Bank loans and bridge loans	_		224		122		346
Other ¹	_		20		1		21
Total	\$ 2,254	\$	249	\$	123	\$	2,626
\$ in millions	Level 1	•	Level 2	•	Level 3	•	Total
U.S. government and federal agency obligations	\$ 6,547	\$	_	\$	_	\$	6,547
Non-U.S. government and agency obligations	623		685		_		1,308
Mortgage and other asset-backed loans and securities:							
Loans and securities backed by							
commercial real estate			637		760		1,397
Loans and securities backed by							
residential real estate			3,397		63		3,460
Bank loans and bridge loans	_		3,362		2,105		5,467
Other ¹	_		1,590		308		1,898
Total	\$ 7,170	\$	9,671	\$	3,236	\$	20,077
	Cash Instru	ıment Li	abilities at Fair	· Value a	s of Decemb	ner 2013	
\$ in millions	Level 1		Level 2		Level 3		Total
U.S. government and federal agency obligations	\$ 3,208	\$	_	\$	_	\$	3,208
Non-U.S. government and agency obligations	47		_		_		47
Bank loans and bridge loans	_		505		195		700
Loans backed by residential real estate	_		2		-		2
Other ¹	_		7		1		8

^{1.} Primarily consists of other debt obligations and equity investments.

Total

3,255

\$

514

\$

196

\$

3,965

Level 3 Rollforward

If a cash instrument asset or liability was transferred to level 3 during a reporting period, its entire gain or loss for the period is included in level 3. Net realized and net unrealized gains and losses on these instruments are included in "Gains and losses from financial instruments, net."

Level 3 cash instruments are frequently economically hedged with level 1 and level 2 cash instruments and/or level 1, level 2 or level 3 derivatives. Accordingly, gains or losses that are reported in level 3 can be partially offset by

gains or losses attributable to level 1 or level 2 cash instruments and/or level 1, level 2 or level 3 derivatives. As a result, gains or losses included in the level 3 rollforward below do not necessarily represent the overall impact on the Bank's results of operations, liquidity or capital resources.

The tables below present changes in fair value for all cash instrument assets and liabilities categorized as level 3 as of the end of the year. Purchases in the table below include both originations and secondary market purchases.

		Level 3 C	ash Instrument A	ssets at Fair V	/alue for t	he Year Ende	ed Decemb	er 2014	
§ in millions	Balance, beginning of year	Net realized gains/ (losses)	Net unrealized gains/(losses) relating to instruments still held at year-end	Purchases	Sales	Settlements	Transfers into level 3	Transfers out of level 3	Balance, end of year
Mortgage and other asset- backed loans and securities:									
Loans and securities backed by commercial real estate	\$ 760	\$ 16	\$ 14	\$ 254	\$ (59)	\$ (244)	\$ -	\$ (157)	\$ 584
Loans and securities backed by residential real estate	63	5	8	19	(19)	(29)	_	_	47
Bank loans and bridge loans	2,105	64	(75)	1,499	(239)	(1,021)	7	(175)	2,165
Other ¹	308	4	(7)	134	-	(40)	-	(87)	312
Total	\$ 3,236	\$ 89	\$ (60)	\$ 1,906	\$ (317)	\$ (1,334)	\$7	\$ (419)	\$ 3,108

\$ in millions	Balance, beginning of year	Net realized (gains)/ losses	ash Instrument Li Net unrealized (gains)/losses relating to instruments still held at year-end	iabilities at Fai	r Value fo	or the Year Er	Transfers into level 3	Transfers out of level 3	Balance, end of year
Bank loans and bridge loans	\$195	\$(4)	\$4	\$(145)	\$ 48	\$ 17	\$8	\$(1)	\$122
Other ¹	1	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	1
Total	\$196	\$(4)	\$4	\$(145)	\$ 48	\$ 17	\$8	\$(1)	\$123

^{1.} Primarily consists of other debt obligations and equity investments.

The net unrealized loss on level 3 cash instruments of \$64 million (reflecting a \$60 million loss on cash instrument assets and a \$4 million loss on cash instrument liabilities) for 2014 primarily consisted of losses on bank loans and bridge loans, primarily due to the impact of widening credit spreads.

Transfers out of level 3 during 2014 primarily reflected transfers of certain bank loans and bridge loans and loans and securities backed by commercial real estate to level 2 principally due to increased price transparency as a result of market evidence, including market transactions in these or similar instruments.

		Level 3 Ca	sh Instrument Ass	ets at Fair Val	ue for the Y	ear Ended De	ecember 20	13	
\$ in millions	Balance, beginning of year	Net realized gains/ (losses)	Net unrealized gains/(losses) relating to instruments still held at year-end	Purchases	Sales	Settlements	Transfers into level 3	Transfers out of level 3	Balance, end of year
Mortgage and other asset- backed loans and securities:									
Loans and securities backed by commercial real estate	\$ 1,065	\$ 61	\$ (9)	\$ 34	\$ (97)	\$ (344)	\$ 109	\$ (59)	\$ 760
Loans and securities backed by residential real estate	73	9	2	1	(11)	(11)	_	_	63
Bank loans and bridge loans	2,654	54	17	885	(633)	(902)	67	(37)	2,105
Other ¹	492	3	4	158	(30)	(22)	14	(311)	308
Total	\$ 4,284	\$ 127	\$ 14	\$ 1,078	\$ (771)	\$ (1,279)	\$ 190	\$ (407)	\$ 3,236

			Le	vel 3 Ca	ash Instrument Lia	bilities a	t Fair \	/alu	e for th	ne Year I	Ende	d Dece	mber	2013	3	
\$ in millions	begi	ance, nning f year	(ga	Net lized ains)/	Net unrealized (gains)/losses relating to instruments still held at year-end	Purc	nases	Ş	Sales	Settlem	ents	Trans into le			nsfers out of evel 3	ance, end of year
Bank loans and bridge loans	\$	388	\$	(7)	\$ (34)	\$	(251)	\$	131	\$	5	\$	1	\$	(38)	\$ 195
Other ¹		_		_	1		_		_		_		_		_	1
Total	\$	388	:	\$ (7)	\$ (33)	\$	(251)	\$	131	\$	5	\$	1	\$	(38)	\$ 196

^{1.} Primarily consists of other debt obligations.

The net unrealized gain on level 3 cash instruments of \$47 million (reflecting a \$14 million gain on cash instrument assets and a \$33 million gain on cash instrument liabilities) for 2013 primarily consisted of gains on bank loans and bridge loans, primarily due to the impact of tighter credit spreads on both funded loans and unfunded lending commitments.

Transfers into level 3 during 2013 primarily reflected the transfer from level 2 of certain loans and securities backed by commercial real estate and certain bank loans and bridge loans, principally due to a lack of market transactions in these loans.

Transfers out of level 3 during 2013 primarily reflected transfers to level 2 of certain other debt obligations principally due to improved transparency of market prices as a result of market transactions in these or similar instruments.

Note 7. Derivatives and Hedging Activities

Derivative Activities

Derivatives are instruments that derive their value from underlying asset prices, indices, reference rates and other inputs, or a combination of these factors. Derivatives may be traded on an exchange (exchange-traded) or they may be privately negotiated contracts, which are usually referred to as over-the-counter (OTC) derivatives. Certain of the Bank's OTC derivatives are cleared and settled through central clearing counterparties (OTC-cleared), while others are bilateral contracts between two counterparties (bilateral OTC). Substantially all of the Bank's derivative instruments are OTC.

Market-Making. As a market maker, the Bank enters into derivative transactions to provide liquidity to clients and to facilitate the transfer and hedging of their risks. In this capacity, the Bank typically acts as principal and is consequently required to commit capital to provide execution. As a market maker, it is essential to maintain an inventory of financial instruments sufficient to meet expected client and market demands.

Risk Management. The Bank also enters into derivatives to actively manage risk exposures that arise from its market-making and lending activities in derivative and cash instruments. The Bank's holdings and exposures are hedged, in many cases, on either a portfolio or risk-specific basis, as opposed to an instrument-by-instrument basis. In addition, the Bank may enter into derivatives designated as hedges under U.S. GAAP. These derivatives are used to manage interest rate exposure in certain fixed-rate deposits.

The Bank enters into various types of derivatives, including:

- Futures and Forwards. Contracts that commit counterparties to purchase or sell financial instruments or currencies in the future.
- **Swaps.** Contracts that require counterparties to exchange cash flows such as currency or interest payment streams. The amounts exchanged are based on the specific terms of the contract with reference to specified rates, financial instruments, currencies or indices.
- **Options.** Contracts in which the option purchaser has the right, but not the obligation, to purchase from or sell to the option writer financial instruments or currencies within a defined time period for a specified price.

Derivatives are reported on a net-by-counterparty basis (i.e., the net payable or receivable for derivative assets and liabilities for a given counterparty) when a legal right of setoff exists under an enforceable netting agreement (counterparty netting). Derivatives are accounted for at fair value, net of cash collateral received or posted under enforceable credit support agreements (cash collateral netting). Derivative assets and liabilities are included in "Financial instruments owned, at fair value" and "Financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased, at fair value," respectively.

Gains and losses on derivatives not designated as hedges under ASC 815 are included in "Gains and losses from financial instruments, net."

The table below presents the fair value and the notional amount of derivative contracts by major product type on a gross basis. Gross fair values exclude the effects of both counterparty netting and collateral, and therefore are not representative of the Bank's exposure. The table below also presents the amounts of counterparty and cash collateral netting in the consolidated statements of financial condition, as well as cash and securities collateral posted and received under enforceable credit support agreements that do not

meet the criteria for netting under U.S. GAAP. Where the Bank has received or posted collateral under credit support agreements, but has not yet determined such agreements are enforceable, the related collateral has not been netted in the table below. Notional amounts, which represent the sum of gross long and short derivative contracts, provide an indication of the volume of the Bank's derivative activity and do not represent anticipated losses.

		As of December 20	14	As of December 2013					
\$ in millions	Derivative Assets	Derivative Liabilities	Notional Amount	Derivative Assets	Derivative Liabilities	Notional Amount			
Derivatives not accounted for as hedges	ASSELS	Liabilities	Amount	ASSEIS	Liabilities	Amount			
_	\$000 F44	\$000 0E0	£44.00E 700	¢ 750.004	Ф 7 00 000	£40,470,000			
Interest rates	\$860,541 190	\$826,856 202	\$44,285,793 2,633,627	\$ 752,664 115	\$ 708,633 208	\$46,170,628			
Exchange-traded OTC-cleared	227.251			231.136		1,859,382			
Bilateral OTC	633,100	205,159 621,495	13,575,347 28,076,819	521,413	216,793 491.632	15,727,015			
Credit – Bilateral OTC				- , -	- /	28,584,231			
	4,594	4,419	225,898	5,994	7,676	265,277			
Currencies – Bilateral OTC	63,822	60,564	2,205,614	52,081	46,627	2,129,916			
Other – Bilateral OTC ¹	1,062	939	44,354	638	609	35,888			
Subtotal	930,019	892,778	46,761,659	811,377	763,545	48,601,709			
Derivatives accounted for as hedges									
Interest rates	384	23	20,140	332	66	13,337			
OTC-cleared	84	6	8,325	4	18	921			
Bilateral OTC	300	17	11,815	328	48	12,416			
Gross fair value/notional amount of derivatives	\$930,403	² \$892,801 ²	\$46,781,799	\$ 811,709 ²	\$ 763,611 ²	\$48,615,046			
Amounts that have been offset in the									
consolidated statements of financial condition									
Counterparty netting	(857,405)	(857,405)		(738,570)	(738,570)				
OTC-cleared	(204,424)	(204,424)		(214,977)	(214,977)				
Bilateral OTC	(652,981)	(652,981)		(523,593)	(523,593)				
Cash collateral netting	(61,827)	(29,534)		(61,454)	(19,772)				
OTC-cleared	(22,902)	(726)		(15,719)	(1,733)				
Bilateral OTC	(38,925)	(28,808)		(45,735)	(18,039)				
Fair value included in financial instruments owned/									
financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased	\$11,171	\$5,862		\$ 11,685	\$ 5,269				
Amounts that have not been offset in the consolida statements of financial condition	ited								
Cash collateral received/posted	(239)	(698)		(120)	(533)				
	(1,535)	(752)			. ,				
Securities collateral received/posted	, ,	,		(2,649)	(1,043)				
Total 1. Includes equity and commodities derivatives	\$9,397	\$4,412		\$ 8,916	\$ 3,693				

^{1.} Includes equity and commodities derivatives.

^{2.} Includes derivative assets and derivative liabilities of \$239 million and \$698 million, respectively, as of December 2014, and derivative assets and derivative liabilities of \$72 million and \$533 million, respectively, as of December 2013, which are not subject to an enforceable netting agreement or are subject to a netting agreement that the Bank has not yet determined to be enforceable.

Valuation Techniques for Derivatives

The Bank's level 2 and level 3 derivatives are valued using derivative pricing models (e.g., discounted cash flow models, correlation models, and models that incorporate option pricing methodologies, such as Monte Carlo simulations). Price transparency of derivatives can generally be characterized by product type.

Interest Rate. In general, the key inputs used to value interest rate derivatives are transparent, even for most long-dated contracts. Interest rate swaps and options denominated in the currencies of leading industrialized nations are characterized by high trading volumes and tight bid/offer spreads. Interest rate derivatives that reference indices, such as an inflation index, or the shape of the yield curve (e.g., 10-year swap rate vs. 2-year swap rate) are more complex, but the key inputs are generally observable.

Credit. Price transparency for credit default swaps, including both single names and baskets of credits, varies by market and underlying reference entity or obligation. Credit default swaps that reference indices, large corporates and major sovereigns generally exhibit the most price transparency. For credit default swaps with other underliers, price transparency varies based on credit rating, the cost of borrowing the underlying reference obligations, and the availability of the underlying reference obligations for delivery upon the default of the issuer. Credit default swaps that reference loans, asset-backed securities and emerging market debt instruments tend to have less price transparency than those that reference corporate bonds. In addition, more complex credit derivatives, such as those sensitive to the correlation between two or more underlying reference obligations, generally have less price transparency.

Currency. Prices for currency derivatives based on the exchange rates of leading industrialized nations, including those with longer tenors, are generally transparent. The primary difference between the price transparency of developed and emerging market currency derivatives is that emerging markets tend to be observable for contracts with shorter tenors.

Equity. Price transparency for equity derivatives varies by market and underlier. Options on indices and the common stock of corporates included in major equity indices exhibit the most price transparency. Equity derivatives generally have observable market prices, except for contracts with long

tenors or reference prices that differ significantly from current market prices. More complex equity derivatives, such as those sensitive to the correlation between two or more individual stocks, generally have less price transparency.

Liquidity is essential to observability of all product types. If transaction volumes decline, previously transparent prices and other inputs may become unobservable. Conversely, even highly structured products may at times have trading volumes large enough to provide observability of prices and other inputs. See Note 5 for an overview of the Bank's fair value measurement policies.

Level 1 Derivatives

Level 1 derivatives include short-term contracts for future delivery of securities when the underlying security is a level 1 instrument, and exchange-traded derivatives if they are actively traded and are valued at their quoted market price.

Level 2 Derivatives

Level 2 derivatives include OTC derivatives for which all significant valuation inputs are corroborated by market evidence. In evaluating the significance of a valuation input, the Bank considers, among other factors, a portfolio's net risk exposure to that input.

The selection of a particular model to value a derivative depends on the contractual terms of and specific risks inherent in the instrument, as well as the availability of pricing information in the market. For derivatives that trade in liquid markets, model selection does not involve significant management judgment because outputs of models can be calibrated to market-clearing levels.

Valuation models require a variety of inputs, such as contractual terms, market prices, yield curves, discount rates (including those derived from interest rates on collateral received and posted as specified in credit support agreements for collateralized derivatives), credit curves, measures of volatility, prepayment rates, loss severity rates and correlations of such inputs. Significant inputs to the valuations of level 2 derivatives can be verified to market transactions, broker or dealer quotations or other alternative pricing sources with reasonable levels of price transparency. Consideration is given to the nature of the quotations (e.g., indicative or firm) and the relationship of recent market activity to the prices provided from alternative pricing sources.

Level 3 Derivatives

Level 3 derivatives are valued using models which utilize observable level 1 and/or level 2 inputs as well as unobservable level 3 inputs.

- For the majority of the Bank's interest rate and currency derivatives classified within level 3, significant unobservable inputs include correlations of certain currencies and interest rates (e.g., the correlation between Euro inflation and Euro interest rates), specific interest rate volatilities, and the basis, or difference, between benchmark interest rates and related indices.
- For level 3 credit derivatives, significant unobservable inputs include illiquid credit spreads and upfront credit points, which are unique to specific reference obligations and reference entities, recovery rates and certain correlations required to value credit and mortgage derivatives (e.g., the likelihood of default of the underlying reference obligation relative to one another).
- For level 3 equity derivatives, the valuation of certain structured trades requires the use of level 3 correlation inputs, such as the correlation of the price performance of two or more individual stocks or the correlation of the price performance for a basket of stocks to another asset class such as commodities.

Subsequent to the initial valuation of a level 3 derivative, the Bank updates the level 1 and level 2 inputs to reflect observable market changes and any resulting gains and losses are recorded in level 3. Level 3 inputs are changed when corroborated by evidence such as similar market transactions, third-party pricing services and/or broker or dealer quotations or other empirical market data. In circumstances where the Bank cannot verify the model value by reference to market transactions, it is possible that a different valuation model could produce a materially different estimate of fair value. See below for further information about significant unobservable inputs used in the valuation of level 3 derivatives.

Valuation Adjustments

Valuation adjustments are integral to determining the fair value of derivative portfolios and are used to adjust the midmarket valuations, produced by derivative pricing models, to the appropriate exit price valuation. These adjustments incorporate bid/offer spreads, the cost of liquidity, credit valuation adjustments and funding valuation adjustments, which account for the credit and funding risk inherent in the uncollateralized portion of derivative portfolios. The Bank also makes funding valuation adjustments to collateralized derivatives where the terms of the agreement do not permit the Bank to deliver or repledge collateral received. Market-based inputs are generally used when calibrating valuation adjustments to market-clearing levels.

In addition, for derivatives that include significant unobservable inputs, the Bank makes model or exit price adjustments to account for the valuation uncertainty present in the transaction.

Significant Unobservable Inputs

The tables below present the ranges of significant unobservable inputs used to value substantially all of the Bank's level 3 derivatives, as well as averages and medians of these inputs. The ranges represent the significant unobservable inputs that were used in the valuation of each type of derivative. Averages represent the arithmetic average of the inputs and are not weighted by the relative fair value or notional of the respective financial instruments. An average greater than the median indicates that the majority of inputs are below the average. The ranges,

averages and medians of these inputs are not representative of the appropriate inputs to use when calculating the fair value of any one derivative. For example, the highest correlation presented in the tables below for interest rate derivatives is appropriate for valuing a specific interest rate derivative but may not be appropriate for valuing any other interest rate derivative. Accordingly, the ranges of inputs presented below do not represent uncertainty in, or possible ranges of, fair value measurements of the Bank's level 3 derivatives.

Level 3 Derivative Product Type	Net Level 3 Assets/(Liabilities) as of December 2014 (\$ in millions)	Valuation Techniques and Significant Unobservable Inputs	Range of Significant Unobservable Inputs (Average / Median) as of December 2014
Interest rates	\$(224)	Option pricing models and discounted cash flow models ¹ : Correlation ² Volatility Basis	(16) % to 84% (37% / 40%) 36 basis points per annum (bpa) to 156 bpa (100 bpa / 115bpa) 2 bps to 21 bps (18 bps / 20 bps)
Currencies	\$(41)	Option pricing models: Correlation ²	55% to 80% (69% / 73%)
Credit	\$360	Option pricing models, correlation models, and discounted cash flow models ¹ : Correlation ² Credit spreads Upfront credit points	5% to 99% (69% / 72%) 34 bps to 473 bps (171 bps / 134 bps) ³ 0 points to 96 points (18 points / 7 points)
Equities	\$107	Option pricing models: Correlation ²	30% to 82% (49% / 50%)

^{1.} The fair value of any one instrument may be determined using multiple valuation techniques. For example, option pricing models and discounted cash flows models are typically used together to determine fair value. Therefore, the level 3 balance encompasses both of these techniques.

^{2.} The range of unobservable inputs for correlation across derivative product types (i.e., cross-asset correlation) was 22% to 66% (Average: 42% / Median: 41%) as of December 2014.

^{3.} The difference between the average and the median for these spread inputs indicates that the majority of the inputs fall in the lower end of the range.

GOLDMAN SACHS BANK USA AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

Level 3 Derivative Product Type	Net Level 3 Assets/(Liabilities) as of December 2013 (\$ in millions)	Valuation Techniques and Significant Unobservable Inputs	Range of Significant Unobservable Inputs (Average / Median) as of December 2013
Interest rates	\$33	Option pricing models:	
		Rate	2.74% to 2.75% (2.74% / 2.74%)
		Correlation ²	22% to 84% (58% / 60%)
		Volatility	36 basis points per annum (bpa) to 165 bpa (107 bpa / 112 bpa)
		Basis	7 bps to 11 bps (9 bps / 9 bps)
Currencies	\$47	Option pricing models: Correlation ²	69% to 79% (72% / 72%)
Credit	\$407	Option pricing models, correlation models and discounted cash flows models ¹ :	
		Correlation ²	5% to 93% (55% / 61%)
		Credit spreads	3 bps to 828 bps (78 bps / 26 bps) ³
		Upfront credit points	3 points to 88 points (26 points / 20 points)
		Recovery rates	66% to 85% (73% / 73%)

^{1.} The fair value of any one instrument may be determined using multiple valuation techniques. For example, option pricing models and discounted cash flows models are typically used together to determine fair value. Therefore, the level 3 balance encompasses both of these techniques.

^{2.} The range of unobservable inputs for correlation across derivative product types (i.e., cross-asset correlation) was 21% to 68% (Average: 42% / Median: 45%) as of December 2013.

^{3.} The difference between the average and the median for the credit spreads input indicates that the majority of the inputs fall in the lower end of the

Range of Significant Unobservable Inputs

The following provides further information about the ranges of significant unobservable inputs used to value the Bank's level 3 derivative instruments.

- **Correlation.** Ranges for correlation cover a variety of underliers both within one market (e.g., foreign exchange rates) and across markets (e.g., correlation of a foreign exchange rate and an interest rate), as well as across regions. Generally, cross-asset correlation inputs are used to value more complex instruments and are lower than correlation inputs on assets within the same derivative product type.
- Volatility. Ranges for volatility cover numerous underliers across a variety of markets, maturities and strike prices.
- Interest rate and basis. The ranges for interest rate and interest rate basis cover variability of markets and maturities.
- Credit spreads, upfront credit points and recovery rates. The ranges for credit spreads, upfront credit points and recovery rates cover a variety of underliers (index and single names), regions, sectors, maturities and credit qualities (high-yield and investment-grade). The broad range of this population gives rise to the width of the ranges of significant unobservable inputs.

Sensitivity of Fair Value Measurement to Changes in Significant Unobservable Inputs

The following provides a description of the directional sensitivity of the Bank's level 3 fair value measurements to changes in significant unobservable inputs, in isolation. Due to the distinctive nature of each of the Bank's level 3 derivatives, the interrelationship of inputs is not necessarily uniform within each product type.

- **Correlation.** In general, for contracts where the holder benefits from the convergence of the underlying asset or index prices (e.g., interest rates, credit spreads, and foreign exchange rates), an increase in correlation results in a higher fair value measurement.
- **Volatility.** In general, for purchased options an increase in volatility results in a higher fair value measurement.
- **Interest rate.** In general, for contracts where the holder is receiving a variable interest rate, an increase in interest rates results in a higher fair value measurement.
- **Interest rate and basis.** In general, for contracts where the holder is receiving the interest rate basis, a tighter basis results in a higher fair value measurement.
- Credit spreads, upfront credit points and recovery rates. In general, the fair value of purchased credit protection increases as credit spreads or upfront credit points increase or recovery rates decrease. Credit spreads, upfront credit points and recovery rates are strongly related to distinctive risk factors of the underlying reference obligations, which include reference entity-specific factors such as leverage, volatility and industry, market-based risk factors, such as borrowing costs or liquidity of the underlying reference obligation, and macroeconomic conditions.

Fair Value of Derivatives by Level

The tables below present the fair value of derivatives on a gross basis by level and major product type as well as the impact of netting. The gross fair values exclude the effects of both counterparty netting and collateral netting, and therefore are not representative of the Bank's exposure.

Counterparty netting is reflected in each level to the extent that receivable and payable balances are netted within the same level and is included in "Counterparty and cash collateral netting." Where the counterparty netting is across levels, the netting is reflected in "Cross-Level Netting."

		De	riva	tive Ass	ets a	t Fair Value	e as of	December 201	4	
					Cro	oss-Level	Cas	sh Collateral		
\$ in millions	Level 1	Level 2	L	_evel 3		Netting		Netting		Total
Interest rates	\$ _	\$ 860,555	\$	370	\$	_	\$	_	\$	860,925
Currencies	-	63,639		183		-		-		63,822
Credit	_	3,632		962		_		-		4,594
Equities	-	685		111		-		-		796
Commodities	-	263		3		-		-		266
Gross fair value of derivative assets	-	928,774		1,629		-		-		930,403
Counterparty and cash collateral netting	_	(856,036)		(586)		(783)		(61,827)		(919,232)
Fair value included in financial										
instruments owned	\$ _	\$ 72,738	\$	1,043	\$	(783)	\$	(61,827)	\$	11,171

	Derivative Liabilities at Fair Value as of December 2014													
							Cro	ss-Level	Cas	sh Collateral				
\$ in millions		Level 1		Level 2	Level 3		Netting		Netting			Total		
Interest rates	\$	_	\$	826,285	\$	594	\$	-	\$	_	\$	826,879		
Currencies		_		60,340		224		_		_		60,564		
Credit		_		3,817		602		_		_		4,419		
Equities		_		672		4		_		_		676		
Commodities		_		262		1		-		_		263		
Gross fair value of derivative liabilities		_		891,376		1,425		-		_		892,801		
Counterparty and cash collateral netting		_		(856,036)		(586)		(783)		(29,534)	-	(886,939)		
Fair value included in financial														
instruments sold, but not yet														
purchased	\$	-	\$	35,340	\$	839	\$	(783)	\$	(29,534)	\$	5,862		

GOLDMAN SACHS BANK USA AND SUBSIDIARIES Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

		D	eriv	ative Ass	ets a	it Fair Value	as	of December 2013	
					C	ross-Level		Cash Collateral	
\$ in millions	Level 1	Level 2		Level 3		Netting		Netting	Total
Interest rates	\$ _	\$ 752,392	\$	604	\$	_	\$	_	\$ 752,996
Currencies	_	51,636		445		_		_	52,081
Credit	_	4,837		1,157		_		_	5,994
Other ¹	_	603		35		_		_	638
Gross fair value of derivative assets	_	809,468		2,241		_		_	811,709
Counterparty and cash collateral netting	_	(737,224)		(723)		(623)		(61,454)	(800,024)
Fair value included in financial instruments	 ·								 ·
owned	\$ _	\$ 72,244	\$	1,518	\$	(623)	\$	(61,454)	\$ 11,685

		De	eriva	tive Liabi	lities a	at Fair Valu	ie as	of December 201	3	
					Cr	oss-Level		Cash Collateral		
\$ in millions	Level 1	Level 2		Level 3		Netting		Netting		Total
Interest rates	\$ _	\$ 708,128	\$	571	\$	_	\$	_	\$	708,699
Currencies	_	46,229		398		_		_		46,627
Credit	_	6,926		750		_		_		7,676
Other ¹	_	597		12		_		_		609
Gross fair value of derivative liabilities	_	761,880		1,731		_		_		763,611
Counterparty and cash collateral netting	_	(737,224)		(723)		(623)		(19,772)		(758,342)
Fair value included in financial										
instruments sold, but not yet										
purchased	\$ _	\$ 24,656	\$	1,008	\$	(623)	\$	(19,772)	\$	5,269

^{1.} Includes equity and commodities derivatives.

Level 3 Rollforward

If a derivative was transferred to level 3 during a reporting period, its entire gain or loss for the period is included in level 3. Transfers between levels are reported at the beginning of the reporting period in which they occur. In the tables below, negative amounts for transfers into level 3 and positive amounts for transfers out of level 3 represent net transfers of derivative liabilities.

Gains and losses on level 3 derivatives should be considered in the context of the following:

• A derivative with level 1 and/or level 2 inputs is classified in level 3 in its entirety if it has at least one significant level 3 input.

- If there is one significant level 3 input, the entire gain or loss from adjusting only observable inputs (i.e., level 1 and level 2 inputs) is classified as level 3.
- Gains or losses that have been reported in level 3 resulting from changes in level 1 or level 2 inputs are frequently offset by gains or losses attributable to level 1 or level 2 derivatives and/or level 1, level 2, and level 3 cash instruments. As a result, gains/(losses) included in the level 3 rollforward below do not necessarily represent the overall impact on the Bank's results of operations, liquidity or capital resources.

The tables below present changes in fair value for all derivatives categorized as level 3 as of the end of the year.

_		Leve	I 3 Derivative As	sets and Liabili	ties at Fair \	Value for the Yea	r Ended Decem	ber 2014	
\$ in millions	Asset/ (liability) balance, beginning of year	Net realized gains/ (losses)	Net unrealized gains/(losses) relating to instruments still held at year-end	Purchases	Sales	Settlements	Transfers into level 3	Transfers out of level 3	Asset/ (liability) balance, end of year
Interest rates – net	\$33	\$(58)	\$(136)	\$4	\$(1)	\$9	\$ –	\$(75)	\$(224)
Currencies – net	47	(97)	(50)	19	(9)	44		5	(41)
Credit – net	407	(10)	11	10	(15)	(3)	(44)	4	360
Eauities - net	23	5	10	63	(1)	(6)	14	(1)	107
Commodities - net	0	(1)	11_	2	_				2
Total derivatives - net	\$510	\$(161) ¹	\$(164) ¹	\$98	\$(26)	\$44	\$(30)	\$(67)	\$204

^{1.} The aggregate amount is reported in "Gains and losses from financial instruments, net."

The net unrealized loss on level 3 derivatives of \$164 million for 2014 was primarily attributable to the impact of changes in interest rates on certain interest rate derivatives and the impact of changes in foreign exchange rates on certain currency derivatives.

Transfers into level 3 derivatives during 2014 primarily reflected transfers from level 2 of certain credit derivative liabilities, principally due to unobservable credit spread inputs becoming significant to the valuation of these derivatives.

Transfers out of level 3 derivatives during 2014 primarily reflected transfers of certain interest rate derivative assets to level 2, principally due to increased transparency of unobservable interest rate inputs used to value these derivatives.

_	Level 3 Derivative Assets and Liabilities at Fair Value for the Year Ended December 2013								
	Asset/ (liability) balance,	Net realized	Net unrealized gains/(losses) relating to instruments				Transfers into	Transfers	Asset/ (liability) balance,
\$ in millions	beginning of year	gains/ (losses)	still held at year-end	Purchases	Sales	Settlements	Transfers into level 3	out of level 3	end of year
Interest rates – net	\$(166)	\$ (64)	\$ 105	\$ 8	\$ (12)	\$ 127	\$ 2	\$ 33	\$ 33
Currencies – net	(10)	(109)	(174)	28	(14)	201	179	(54)	47
Credit – net	848	(73)	(392)	40	(50)	58	(28)	4	407
Other – net 1	23	1	_	-	_	(1)	-	_	23
Total derivatives – net	\$ 695	\$(245) ²	\$(461) ²	\$76	\$(76)	\$ 385	\$153	\$(17)	\$510

- 1. Includes equity and commodities derivatives.
- 2. The aggregate amount is reported in "Gains and losses from financial instruments, net."

The net unrealized loss on level 3 derivatives of \$461 million for 2013 was primarily attributable to the impact of changes in foreign exchange rates on certain currency derivatives, the impact of tighter credit spreads on certain credit derivatives, partially offset by the impact of changes in interest rates on certain interest rate derivatives.

Transfers into level 3 derivatives during 2013 primarily reflected transfers from level 2 of certain currency derivative assets, principally due to unobservable correlation inputs becoming significant to the valuation of these derivatives.

Transfers out of level 3 derivatives during 2013 primarily reflected transfers of certain currency derivatives assets to level 2, principally due to increased transparency of unobservable correlation inputs used to value these derivatives.

Impact of Credit Spreads on Derivatives

On an ongoing basis, the Bank realizes gains or losses relating to changes in credit risk through the unwind of derivative contracts and changes in credit mitigants.

The net gain/(loss) attributable to the impact of changes in credit exposure and credit spreads (of the Bank's counterparties as well as of the Bank or its affiliates) on derivatives was \$24 million and \$(59) million for 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Derivatives with Credit-related Contingent Features

Certain of the Bank's derivatives have been transacted under bilateral agreements with counterparties who may require the Bank to post collateral or terminate the transactions based on changes in the credit ratings of the Bank or its affiliates. Typically, such requirements are based on the credit ratings of Group Inc. The Bank assesses the impact of these bilateral agreements by determining the collateral or termination payments that would occur assuming a downgrade by all rating agencies. A downgrade by any one rating agency, depending on the agency's relative ratings of the Bank or its affiliates at the time of the downgrade, may have an impact which is comparable to the impact of a downgrade by all rating agencies.

The table below presents the aggregate fair value of net derivative liabilities under such agreements (excluding application of collateral posted to reduce these liabilities), the related aggregate fair value of the assets posted as collateral, and the additional collateral or termination payments that could have been called at the reporting date by counterparties in the event of a one-notch and two-notch downgrade in the credit ratings of the Bank or its affiliates.

	As of December			
\$ in millions	2014	2013		
Net derivative liabilities under bilateral agreements	\$6,883	\$5,043		
Collateral posted	5,685	4,008		
Additional collateral or termination payments for a one-notch downgrade	580	305		
Additional collateral or termination payments for a two-notch downgrade	1,031	923		

Credit Derivatives

The Bank enters into a broad array of credit derivatives in locations around the world to facilitate client transactions and to manage the credit risk associated with its businesses. Credit derivatives are actively managed based on the Bank's net risk position.

Credit derivatives are individually negotiated contracts and can have various settlement and payment conventions. Credit events include failure to pay, bankruptcy, acceleration of indebtedness, restructuring, repudiation and dissolution of the reference entity.

Credit Default Swaps. Single-name credit default swaps protect the buyer against the loss of principal on one or more bonds, loans or mortgages (reference obligations) in the event the issuer (reference entity) of the reference obligations suffers a credit event. The buyer of protection pays an initial or periodic premium to the seller and receives protection for the period of the contract. If there is no credit event, as defined in the contract, the seller of protection makes no payments to the buyer of protection. However, if a credit event occurs, the seller of protection is required to make a payment to the buyer of protection, which is calculated in accordance with the terms of the contract.

Credit Indices, Baskets and Tranches. Credit derivatives may reference a basket of single-name credit default swaps or a broad-based index. If a credit event occurs in one of the underlying reference obligations, the protection seller pays the protection buyer. The payment is typically a pro-rata portion of the transaction's total notional amount based on the underlying defaulted reference obligation. In certain transactions, the credit risk of a basket or index is separated into various portions (tranches), each having different levels of subordination. The most junior tranches cover initial defaults and once losses exceed the notional amount of these junior tranches, any excess loss is covered by the next most senior tranche in the capital structure.

Total Return Swaps. A total return swap transfers the risks relating to economic performance of a reference obligation from the protection buyer to the protection seller. Typically, the protection buyer receives from the protection seller a floating rate of interest and protection against any reduction in fair value of the reference obligation, and in return the protection seller receives the cash flows associated with the reference obligation, plus any increase in the fair value of the reference obligation.

Credit Options. In a credit option, the option writer assumes the obligation to purchase or sell a reference obligation at a specified price or credit spread. The option purchaser buys the right, but does not assume the obligation, to sell the reference obligation to, or purchase it from, the option writer. The payments on credit options depend either on a particular credit spread or the price of the reference obligation.

The Bank economically hedges its exposure to written credit derivatives primarily by entering into offsetting purchased credit derivatives with identical underliers. Substantially all of the Bank's purchased credit derivative transactions are with financial institutions and are subject to stringent collateral thresholds. In addition, upon the occurrence of a specified trigger event, the Bank may take possession of the reference obligations underlying a particular written credit derivative, and consequently may, upon liquidation of the reference obligations, recover amounts on the underlying reference obligations in the event of default.

As of December 2014, written and purchased credit derivatives had total gross notional amounts of \$101.79 billion and \$124.11 billion, respectively, for total net notional purchased protection of \$22.32 billion. As of December 2013, written and purchased credit derivatives had total gross notional amounts of \$119.93 billion and \$145.35 billion, respectively, for total net notional purchased protection of \$25.42 billion.

GOLDMAN SACHS BANK USA AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The table below presents certain information about credit derivatives. In the table below:

- Fair values exclude the effects of both netting of receivable balances with payable balances under enforceable netting agreements, and netting of cash received or posted under enforceable credit support agreements, and therefore are not representative of the Bank's credit exposure.
- Tenor is based on expected duration for mortgagerelated credit derivatives and on remaining contractual maturity for other credit derivatives.
- The credit spread on the underlier, together with the tenor of the contract, are indicators of payment/performance risk. The Bank is less likely to pay or otherwise be required to perform where the credit spread and the tenor are lower.

		Maximum Payout/Notional Amount of Written Credit Derivatives by Tenor					Maximum Payout/Notional Amount of Purchased Credit Derivatives			Fair Value of Written Credit Derivatives						
						•			Offsetting		Other					
									Purchased	P	urchased					Net
		0 - 12		1 - 5		5 Years or			Credit		Credit					Asset/
\$ in millions		Months		Years		Greater	Total		Derivatives 1	D	erivatives 2		Asset		Liability	(Liability)
As of December 2014																
Credit spread on underli	ier															
(basis points)																
0-250	\$	26,681	\$	58,376	\$	6,161	\$ 91,218	\$	81,071	\$	31,395	\$	2,295	\$	435	\$ 1,860
251-500		372		3,046		190	3,608		3,296		1,146		108		131	 (23)
501-1,000		1,165		859		-	2,024		1,993		200		26		86	 (60)
Greater than 1,000		2,278		2,627		37	4,942		4,691		314		86		767	(681)
Total	\$	30,496	\$	64,908	\$	6,388	\$ 101,792	\$	91,051	\$	33,055	\$	2,515	\$	1,419	\$ 1,096
As of December 2013																
Credit spread on underl	ier															
(basis points)																
0-250	\$	26,114	\$	79,187	\$	4,795	\$ 110,096	\$	100,701	\$	33,480	\$	3,574	\$	478	\$ 3,096
251-500		189		3,545		126	 3,860		3,579		941		266		9	 257
501-1,000		386		1,004		68	 1,458		1,347		132		60		25	 35
Greater than 1,000		1,368		3,132		16	 4,516		4,424		743		185		620	 (435)
Total	\$	28,057	\$	86,868	\$	5,005	\$ 119,930	\$	110,051	\$	35,296	\$	4,085	\$	1,132	\$ 2.953

^{1.} Offsetting purchased credit derivatives represent the notional amount of purchased credit derivatives that economically hedge written credit derivatives with identical underliers.

^{2.} This purchased protection represents the notional amount of purchased credit derivatives not included in "Offsetting Purchased Credit Derivatives."

Hedge Accounting

The Bank applies hedge accounting for certain interest rate swaps used to manage the interest rate exposure of certain fixed-rate certificates of deposit.

To qualify for hedge accounting, the derivative hedge must be highly effective at reducing the risk from the exposure being hedged. Additionally, the Bank must formally document the hedging relationship at inception and test the hedging relationship at least on a quarterly basis to ensure the derivative hedge continues to be highly effective over the life of the hedging relationship.

Fair Value Hedges

The Bank designates certain interest rate swaps as fair value hedges. These interest rate swaps hedge changes in fair value attributable to the designated benchmark interest rate (e.g., London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR)), effectively converting a substantial portion of fixed-rate obligations into floating-rate obligations.

The Bank applies a statistical method that utilizes regression analysis when assessing the effectiveness of its fair value hedging relationships in achieving offsetting changes in the fair values of the hedging instrument and the risk being hedged (i.e., interest rate risk). An interest rate swap is considered highly effective in offsetting changes in fair value attributable to changes in the hedged risk when the regression analysis results in a coefficient of determination of 80% or greater and a slope between 80% and 125%.

For qualifying fair value hedges, gains or losses on derivatives are included in "Interest expense." The change in fair value of the hedged item attributable to the risk being hedged is reported as an adjustment to its carrying value and is subsequently amortized into interest expense over its remaining life. Gains or losses resulting from hedge ineffectiveness are included in "Interest expense." When a derivative is no longer designated as a hedge, any remaining difference between the carrying value and par value of the hedged item is amortized to interest expense over the remaining life of the hedged item using the effective interest method. See Note 20 for further information about interest income and interest expense.

The table below presents the gains/(losses) from interest rate derivatives accounted for as hedges, the related hedged bank deposits, and the hedge ineffectiveness on these derivatives, which primarily consists of amortization of prepaid credit spreads resulting from the passage of time.

	Year Ended	December
\$ in millions	2014	2013
Interest rate hedges	\$ 83	\$ (461)
Hedged bank deposits	(116)	387
Hedge ineffectiveness	(33)	(74)

Note 8. Fair Value Option

Other Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities at Fair Value

In addition to all cash and derivative instruments included in "Financial instruments owned, at fair value" and "Financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased, at fair value," the Bank accounts for certain of its other financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value primarily under the fair value option.

The primary reasons for electing the fair value option are to:

- Reflect economic events in earnings on a timely basis;
- Mitigate volatility in earnings from using different measurement attributes (e.g., certain transfers of financial instruments owned accounted for as financings are recorded at fair value whereas the related secured financing would be recorded on an accrual basis absent electing the fair value option); and
- Address simplification and cost-benefit considerations (e.g., accounting for hybrid financial instruments at fair value in their entirety versus bifurcation of embedded derivatives and hedge accounting for debt hosts).

Hybrid financial instruments are instruments that contain bifurcatable embedded derivatives and do not require settlement by physical delivery of non-financial assets (e.g., physical commodities). The Bank does not bifurcate hybrid financial instruments and accounts for such instruments at fair value under the fair value option.

Other financial assets and financial liabilities accounted for at fair value under the fair value option include:

- Repurchase agreements and certain resale agreements;
- Certain other secured financings, consisting of transfers of assets accounted for as financings rather than sales;
- Certain other liabilities, primarily unsecured borrowings included in "Other liabilities and accrued expenses"; and

 Certain time deposits (deposits with no stated maturity are not eligible for a fair value option election), including structured certificates of deposit, which are hybrid financial instruments.

These financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value are generally valued based on discounted cash flow techniques, which incorporate inputs with reasonable levels of price transparency, and are generally classified as level 2 because the inputs are observable. Valuation adjustments may be made for liquidity and for counterparty and the Bank's credit quality.

See below for information about the significant inputs used to value other financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value, including the ranges of significant unobservable inputs used to value the level 3 instruments within these categories. These ranges represent the significant unobservable inputs that were used in the valuation of each type of other financial assets and financial liabilities at fair value. The ranges and weighted averages of these inputs are not representative of the appropriate inputs to use when calculating the fair value of any one instrument. For example, the highest yield presented below for resale and repurchase agreements is appropriate for valuing a specific agreement in that category but may not be appropriate for valuing any other agreements in that category. Accordingly, the ranges of inputs presented below do not represent uncertainty in, or possible ranges of, fair value measurements of the Bank's level 3 other financial assets and financial liabilities.

Resale and Repurchase Agreements. The significant inputs to the valuation of resale and repurchase agreements are funding spreads, the amount and timing of expected future cash flows and interest rates. As of December 2014, there were no level 3 resale and repurchase agreements. The range of significant unobservable inputs used to value level 3 resale agreements as of December 2013 was 2.7% to 3.9% (weighted average: 2.9%) for yield. As of December 2013, there were no level 3 repurchase agreements.

Generally, increases in yield, in isolation, would result in a lower fair value measurement. Due to the distinctive nature of each of the Bank's level 3 resale agreements, the interrelationship of inputs is not necessarily uniform across such agreements. See Note 10 for further information about collateralized agreements.

Other Secured Financings. The significant inputs to the valuation of other secured financings at fair value are the amount and timing of expected future cash flows, interest rates, funding spreads, the fair value of the collateral delivered by the Bank (which is determined using the amount and timing of expected future cash flows, market prices, market yields and recovery assumptions) and the frequency of additional collateral calls. As of both December 2014 and December 2013, there were no level 3 other secured financings.

Deposits. The significant inputs to the valuation of time deposits are interest rates and the amount and timing of future cash flows. The Bank's deposits that are included in level 3 are hybrid financial instruments. As the significant unobservable inputs used to value hybrid financial instruments primarily relate to the embedded derivative component of these deposits, these inputs are incorporated in the Bank's derivative disclosures related to unobservable inputs in Note 7.

Fair Value of Other Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities by Level

The tables below present, by level within the fair value hierarchy, other financial assets and financial liabilities accounted for at fair value primarily under the fair value option.

_	Other Financial Assets at Fair Value as of December 2014										
\$ in millions	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3		Total						
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	\$ -	\$ 4,232	\$ -	\$	4,232						
Total	\$ -	\$ 4.232	\$ -	\$	4.232						

_	Other Financial Liabilities at Fair Value as of December 2014									
\$ in millions	Lev	/el 1	Level 2		Level 3			Total		
Deposits	\$	_	\$	4,809	\$	1,065	\$	5,874		
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase		_		6,578		_		6,578		
Other secured financings		_		78		_		78		
Other liabilities and accrued expenses		_		143		_		143		
Total	\$	_	\$	11,608	\$	1,065	\$	12,673		

_	Other Financial Assets at Fair Value as of December 2013								
\$ in millions	Leve	el 1		Level 2	Le	vel 3		Total	
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	\$	_	\$	1,267	\$	63	\$	1,330	
Other assets		_		7		_		7	
Total	\$	_	\$	1,274	\$	63	\$	1,337	

_	Other Financial Liabilities at Fair Value as of December 2013									
\$ in millions	Level 1		Level 2		Level 3			Total		
Deposits	\$	-	\$	1,990	\$	385	\$	2,375		
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase		_		6,983		-		6,983		
Other secured financings		_		65		-		65		
Other liabilities and accrued expenses		-		120		-		120		
Total	\$	-	\$	9,158	\$	385	\$	9,543		

Transfers Between Levels of the Fair Value Hierarchy

Transfers between levels of the fair value hierarchy are reported at the beginning of the reporting period in which they occur. There were no transfers of other financial assets and financial liabilities between level 1 and level 2 during 2014 or 2013. The tables below present information about transfers between level 2 and level 3.

Level 3 Rollforward

If a financial asset or financial liability was transferred to level 3 during a reporting year, its entire gain or loss for the year is included in level 3.

The tables below present changes in fair value for other financial assets and financial liabilities accounted for at fair value categorized as level 3 as of the end of the year. Level 3 other financial assets and liabilities are frequently economically hedged with cash instruments and derivatives. Accordingly, gains or losses that are reported in level 3 can be partially offset by gains or losses attributable to level 1, 2 or 3 cash instruments or derivatives. As a result, gains or losses included in the level 3 rollforward below do not necessarily represent the overall impact on the Bank's results of operations, liquidity or capital resources.

\$ in millions	Balance, beginning of year	Net realized gains/ (losses)	Level 3 Other Fir Net unrealized gains/(losses) relating to instruments still held at year-end	Purchases	Sales	Issuances	Settlements	Transfers into	Transfers out of level 3	Balance, end of year
Securities purchased	or year	(103303)	year ena	i ui ciiuses	Odics	1334411003	Octionicits	10 001 0	10,401.0	you
under agreements to resell	\$63	\$-	\$ –	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$(63)	\$ –	\$-	\$-
			Level 3 Other Fina	ncial Liabilities	at Fair Va	alue for the \	ear Ended Dec	cember 2014		
		Net	Net unrealized (gains)/losses relating to							
\$ in millions	Balance, beginning of year	realized (gains)/ losses	instruments still held at year-end	Purchases	Sales	Issuances	Settlements	Transfers into level 3	Transfers out of level 3	Balance, end of year
Deposits	\$385	\$-	\$21 ¹	\$ (5)	\$ -	\$ 442	\$(6)	\$ 280	\$(52)	\$1,065

^{1.} Included in "Gains and losses from financial instruments, net."

Transfers into level 3 of other financial liabilities during 2014 reflected transfers of certain deposits from level 2, principally due to unobservable inputs being significant to the valuation of these instruments.

Transfers out of level 3 of other financial liabilities during 2014 primarily reflected transfers of certain deposits to level 2, principally due to increased transparency of significant inputs used to value these instruments.

_			Level 3 Other F	inancial Asset	s at Fair V	alue for the Y	ear Ended Dece	ember 2013		
\$ in millions	Balance, beginning of year	Net realized gains/ (losses)	Net unrealized gains/(losses) relating to instruments still held at year-end	Purchases	Sales	Issuances	Settlements	Transfers into level 3	Transfers out of level 3	Balance, end of year
Securities purchased		,	,							•
under agreements to resell	\$278	\$4 ¹	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$(15)	\$ -	\$ (204)	\$63
-			Level 3 Other Fi	Tariolai Liabiliti	co at i an	value for the	Total Ended Dec	Definiber 2010		
\$ in millions	Balance, beginning of year	Net realized (gains)/ losses	(gains)/losses relating to instruments still held at year-end	Purchases	Sales	Issuances	Settlements	Transfers into level 3	Transfers out of level 3	Balance, end of year
Deposits	\$359	\$ -	\$ (6)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 109	\$ (6)	\$ -	\$ (71)	\$385
Other secured financings	31	_	_	_	_	_	(31)	_	_	_
Total	\$390	\$ -	\$ (6) ¹	\$ -	\$ -	\$109	\$(37)	\$ -	\$ (71)	\$385

^{1.} Included in "Gains and losses from financial instruments, net."

Transfers out of level 3 of other financial assets during 2013 primarily reflected transfers of certain resale agreements to level 2, principally due to increased price transparency as a result of market transactions in similar instruments.

Transfers out of level 3 of other financial liabilities during 2013 primarily reflected transfers of certain deposits to level 2, principally due to increased transparency of significant inputs used to value these instruments.

Gains and Losses on Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Accounted for at Fair Value Under the Fair Value Option

The table below presents the gains and losses recognized as a result of the Bank electing to apply the fair value option to certain financial assets and financial liabilities. These gains and losses are included in "Gains and losses from financial instruments, net." The table below also includes gains and losses on the embedded derivative component of hybrid financial instruments included in deposits. These gains and losses would have been recognized under other U.S. GAAP even if the Bank had not elected to account for the entire hybrid instrument at fair value.

The amounts in the table exclude contractual interest, which is included in "Interest income" and "Interest expense." See Note 20 for further information about interest income and interest expense.

Gains/(Losses) on Financial
Assets and Financial Liabilities at
Fair Value Under the Fair Value
Option
Year Ended December

	Year Ended De	Year Ended December				
\$ in millions	2014	2013				
Deposits	\$(110)	\$81				
Other ¹	20	25				
Total	\$(90)	\$106				

Primarily consists of unrealized gains on certain unsecured borrowings included in "Other liabilities and accrued expenses."

Excluding the gains and losses on the instruments accounted for under the fair value option described above, "Gains and losses from financial instruments, net" primarily represents gains and losses on "Financial instruments owned, at fair value" and "Financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased, at fair value."

Loans at Fair Value. The Bank originates loans to provide financing to clients. These loans are typically longer-term in nature. The Bank's lending activities include lending to investment-grade and non-investment-grade corporate borrowers. The Bank's lending activities also include extending loans to borrowers that are secured by commercial and residential real estate. In addition, the Bank extends loans and lending commitments to private wealth management clients that are primarily secured by residential real estate or other assets.

The Bank accounts for certain loans at fair value under the fair value option which are included in "Financial instruments owned, at fair value." See Note 6 for a discussion of the techniques and significant inputs used in the valuation of loans. The table below presents details about loans at fair value.

	As of December			
\$ in millions	2014	2013		
Corporate loans	\$5,283	\$ 4,806		
Loans backed by residential real estate ¹	4,640	3,389		
Loans backed by commercial real estate	1,928	1,265		
Loans to private wealth management clients	416	259		
Other loans	232	522		
Total	\$12,499	\$10,241		

^{1.} Loans backed by residential real estate are typically loans collateralized by the borrower's personal residence. Substantially all loans backed by residential real estate are to private wealth management clients. The majority of these loans have maturities over ten years and carry a floating interest rate.

The aggregate contractual principal amount of loans for which the fair value option was elected exceeded the related fair value by \$277 million and \$207 million as of December 2014 and December 2013, respectively. Included in these amounts are loans in non-accrual status (including loans more than 90 days past due) with a principal balance of \$5 million and a fair value of \$3 million as of December 2014 and a principal balance of \$7 million and a fair value of \$4 million as of December 2013.

Impact of Credit Spreads on Loans and Lending Commitments

The estimated net gain attributable to changes in instrument-specific credit spreads on loans and loan commitments for which the fair value option was elected was \$1.02 billion and \$1.29 billion for 2014 and 2013, respectively. Changes in the fair value of loans and lending commitments are primarily attributable to changes in instrument-specific credit spreads. Substantially all of the Bank's loans and lending commitments are floating-rate.

Note 9. Loans Receivable

Loans receivable is primarily comprised of loans held for investment that are accounted for at amortized cost net of allowance for loan losses. Interest on such loans is recognized over the life of the loan and is recorded on an accrual basis. The table below presents details about loans receivable.

_	As of December					
\$ in millions	2014	2013				
Corporate loans ¹	\$11,808	\$ 5,937				
Loans to private wealth management						
clients	10,986	6,542				
Loans backed by commercial real estate	1,582	702				
Other loans	1,046	_				
Subtotal	25,422	13,181				
Allowance for loan losses	(137)	(74)				
Total	\$25,285	\$13,107				

Includes loans made as part of the Bank's Community Reinvestment Act activities.

As of December 2014 and December 2013, the fair value of "Loans receivable" was \$25.17 billion and \$13.19 billion, respectively. As of December 2014, had these loans been carried at fair value and included in the fair value hierarchy, \$12.63 billion and \$12.54 billion would have been classified in level 2 and level 3, respectively. As of December 2013, had these loans been carried at fair value and included in the fair value hierarchy, \$6.02 billion and \$7.17 billion would have been classified in level 2 and level 3, respectively.

Below is a description of the captions in the table above.

Corporate Loans. Corporate loans include term loans, revolving lines of credit, letter of credit facilities and bridge loans, and are principally used for operating liquidity and general corporate purposes, or in connection with acquisitions. Corporate loans may be secured or unsecured, depending on the loan purpose, the risk profile of the borrower and other factors. The majority of these loans have maturities between one year and five years and carry a floating interest rate.

Loans to Private Wealth Management Clients. Loans to the Bank's private wealth management clients include loans used by clients to finance private asset purchases, employ leverage for strategic investments in real or financial assets, bridge cash flow timing gaps or provide liquidity for other needs. Such loans are primarily secured by securities or other assets. The majority of these loans are demand or short-term loans and carry a floating interest rate.

Loans Backed by Commercial Real Estate. Loans backed by commercial real estate include loans collateralized by hotels, retail stores, multifamily housing complexes and commercial and industrial properties. The majority of these loans have maturities between one year and five years and carry a floating interest rate.

Other Loans. Other loans primarily include loans secured by consumer loans, and other assets. The majority of these loans have maturities between one year and five years and carry a floating interest rate.

The Bank also extends lending commitments that are held for investment and accounted for on an accrual basis. As of December 2014 and December 2013, such lending commitments were \$60.35 billion and \$31.47 billion, respectively. The carrying value and the estimated fair value of such lending commitments were liabilities of \$157 million and \$1,671 million, respectively, as of December 2014, and \$102 million and \$863 million, respectively, as of December 2013. The carrying value included \$61 million and \$40 million as of December 2014 and December 2013, respectively, related to the allowance for losses on unfunded commitments. As these lending commitments are not accounted for at fair value under the fair value option or at fair value in accordance with other U.S. GAAP, their fair value is not included in the Bank's fair value hierarchy in Notes 6 through 8. Had these commitments been accounted for at fair value and included in the Bank's fair value hierarchy, they would have primarily been classified in level 3 as of both December 2014 and December 2013.

Included in loans receivable are loans held for sale which are accounted for at the lower of cost or market. The balance of such loans was \$265 million and \$614 million as of December 2014 and December 2013, respectively.

Credit Quality

The Bank's risk assessment process includes evaluating the credit quality of its loans receivable. The Bank performs credit reviews which include initial and ongoing analyses of its borrowers. A credit review is an independent analysis of the capacity and willingness of a borrower to meet its financial obligations, resulting in an internal credit rating. The determination of internal credit ratings also incorporates assumptions with respect to the nature of and outlook for the borrower's industry, and the economic environment. The Bank also assigns a regulatory risk rating to such loans based on the definitions provided by the U.S. federal bank regulatory agencies.

As of December 2014 and December 2013, the Bank's loans receivable were primarily extended to non-investment-grade borrowers and lending commitments held for investment and accounted for on an accrual basis were primarily extended to investment grade borrowers. Substantially all of these loans and lending commitments align with the U.S. federal bank regulatory agencies' definition of Pass. Loans and lending commitments meet the definition of Pass when they are performing and/or do not demonstrate adverse characteristics that are likely to result in a credit loss.

Impaired Loans and Loans on Non-Accrual Status

A loan is determined to be impaired when it is probable that the Bank will not be able to collect all principal and interest due under the contractual terms of the loan. At that time, loans are placed on non-accrual status and all accrued but uncollected interest is reversed against interest income and interest subsequently collected is recognized on a cash basis to the extent the loan balance is deemed collectible. Otherwise all cash received is used to reduce the outstanding loan balance. As of December 2014 and December 2013, impaired loans in non-accrual status were not material.

Allowance for Losses on Loans and Lending Commitments

The Bank's allowance for loan losses is comprised of two components: specific loan level reserves and a collective, portfolio level reserve. Specific loan level reserves are determined on loans that exhibit credit quality weakness and are therefore individually evaluated for impairment. Portfolio level reserves are determined on the remaining loans, not deemed impaired, by aggregating groups of loans with similar risk characteristics and estimating the probable loss inherent in the portfolio. As of December 2014 and December 2013, substantially all of the Bank's loans receivable were evaluated for impairment at the portfolio level.

The allowance for loan losses is determined using various inputs, including industry default and loss data, current macroeconomic indicators, borrower's capacity to meet its financial obligations, borrower country of risk, loan seniority, and collateral type. Management's estimate of loan losses entails judgment about loan collectability based on available information at the reporting dates, and there are uncertainties inherent in those judgments. While management uses the best information available to determine this estimate, future adjustments to the allowance may be necessary based on, among other things, changes in the economic environment or variances between actual results and the original assumptions used. Loans are charged off against the allowance for loan losses when they are deemed to be uncollectible.

The Bank also records an allowance for losses on lending commitments that are held for investment and accounted for on an accrual basis. Such allowance is determined using the same methodology as the allowance for loan losses, while also taking into consideration the probability of drawdowns or funding and is included in "Other liabilities and accrued expenses" in the consolidated statements of financial condition. As of December 2014 and December 2013, substantially all of such lending commitments were evaluated for impairment at the portfolio level.

The tables below present the change in allowance for loan losses and the allowance for losses on lending commitments for the years ended December 2014 and December 2013.

\$ in millions	Year Ended December				
Allowance for loan losses	2014	2013			
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 74	\$ 20			
Charge-offs	(3)	_			
Provision for loan losses	66	54			
Balance, end of year ¹	\$137	\$ 74			

 Included within the allowance for loan losses as of December 2014 was \$10 million related to individually impaired loans with an unpaid principal balance of \$57 million. Included within the allowance for loan losses as of December 2013 was \$6 million related to individually impaired loans with an unpaid principal balance of \$25 million.

\$ in millions	Year Ended [December
Allowance for losses on lending commitments	2014	2013
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 40	\$ 19
Provision for losses on lending commitments	21	21
Balance, end of year	\$ 61	\$ 40

The provision for losses on loans and lending commitments is included in "Gains and losses from financial instruments, net" in the consolidated statements of earnings. As of December 2014 and December 2013, substantially all of the allowance for loan losses and allowance for losses on lending commitments were related to corporate loans and corporate lending commitments. Substantially all of these allowances were determined at the portfolio level.

Note 10.

Collateralized Agreements and Financings

Collateralized agreements are securities purchased under agreements to resell (resale agreements). Collateralized financings are securities sold under agreements to repurchase (repurchase agreements) and other secured financings. The Bank enters into these transactions in order to, among other things, facilitate client activities, invest excess cash, and finance certain Bank activities.

Collateralized agreements and financings are presented on a net-by-counterparty basis when a legal right of setoff exists. Interest on collateralized agreements and collateralized financings is recognized over the life of the transaction and included in "Interest income" and "Interest expense," respectively. See Note 20 for further information about interest income and interest expense.

The table below presents the carrying value of resale and repurchase agreements.

	As of December			
\$ in millions		2014		2013
Securities purchased under				
agreements to resell 1	\$	5,775	\$	1,804
Securities sold under				
agreements to repurchase 1		6,578		6,983

Repurchase agreements are carried at fair value under the fair value option. Resale agreements are primarily carried at fair value under the fair value option. See Note 8 for further information about the valuation techniques and significant inputs used to determine fair value.

Resale and Repurchase Agreements

A resale agreement is a transaction in which the Bank purchases financial instruments from a seller, typically in exchange for cash, and simultaneously enters into an agreement to resell the same or substantially the same financial instruments to the seller at a stated price plus accrued interest at a future date.

A repurchase agreement is a transaction in which the Bank sells financial instruments to a buyer, typically in exchange for cash, and simultaneously enters into an agreement to repurchase the same or substantially the same financial instruments from the buyer at a stated price plus accrued interest at a future date.

The financial instruments purchased or sold in resale and repurchase agreements primarily include U.S. government and federal agency obligations.

The Bank receives financial instruments purchased under resale agreements, makes delivery of financial instruments sold under repurchase agreements, monitors the market value of these financial instruments on a daily basis, and delivers or obtains additional collateral due to changes in the market value of the financial instruments, as appropriate. For resale agreements, the Bank typically requires collateral with a fair value approximately equal to the carrying value of the relevant assets in the consolidated statements of financial condition.

Even though repurchase and resale agreements involve the legal transfer of ownership of financial instruments, they are accounted for as financing arrangements because they require the financial instruments to be repurchased or resold at the maturity of the agreement. However, "repos-tomaturity" are accounted for as sales. A repo-to-maturity is a transaction in which the Bank transfers a security under an agreement to repurchase the security where the maturity date of the repurchase agreement matches the maturity date of the underlying security. Therefore, the Bank effectively no longer has a repurchase obligation and has relinquished control over the underlying security and, accordingly, accounts for the transaction as a sale. See Note 3 for information about changes to the accounting for repos-tomaturity which became effective in January 2015. The Bank had no repos-to-maturity outstanding as of December 2014 and December 2013.

Offsetting Arrangements

The tables below present the gross and net resale and repurchase agreements and the related amount of netting with the same counterparty under enforceable netting agreements (i.e., counterparty netting) included in the of consolidated statements financial condition. Substantially all of the gross carrying values of securities sold under agreements to repurchase are subject to enforceable netting agreements. The majority of securities purchased under agreements to resell are also subject to enforceable netting agreements. The tables below also present the amounts not offset in the consolidated statements of financial condition including counterparty netting that does not meet the criteria for netting under U.S. GAAP and the fair value of cash or securities collateral received or posted subject to enforceable credit support agreements. Where the Bank has received or posted collateral under credit support agreements, but has not yet determined such agreements are enforceable, the related collateral has not been netted in the tables below.

		As of Decer	nbe	er 2014
		Assets	Liabilitie	
		Resale		Repurchase
\$ in millions		agreements		agreements
Amounts included in the consolidated statements of financial condition				
Gross carrying value	\$	5,775	\$	6,578
Counterparty netting		_		_
Total		5,775		6,578
Amounts not offset in the consolidated statements of financial condition				
Counterparty netting		(3,637)		(3,637)
Collateral		(2,129)		(2,725)
Total	\$	9	\$	216
·		· ·		·

	As of December 2013			er 2013
		Assets Liab		
		Resale		Repurchase
\$ in millions		agreements		agreements
Amounts included in the consolidated statements of financial condition				
Gross carrying value	\$	4,761	\$	9,940
Counterparty netting		(2,957)		(2,957)
Total		1,804		6,983
Amounts not offset in the consolidated statements of financial condition				
Counterparty netting		(1,135)		(1,135)
Collateral		(661)		(5,684)
Total	\$	8	\$	164

Other Secured Financings

In addition to repurchase agreements, the Bank funds certain assets through the use of other secured financings and pledges financial instruments and other assets as collateral in these transactions. These other secured financings consist of transfers of financial assets accounted for as financings rather than sales (primarily bank loans and mortgage whole loans).

The Bank has elected to apply the fair value option to a portion of other secured financings because the use of fair value eliminates non-economic volatility in earnings that would arise from using different measurement attributes. See Note 8 for further information about other secured financings that are accounted for at fair value.

Other secured financings that are not recorded at fair value are recorded based on the amount of cash received plus accrued interest, which generally approximates fair value. While these financings are carried at amounts that approximate fair value, they are not accounted for at fair value under the fair value option or at fair value in accordance with other U.S. GAAP and therefore are not included in the Bank's fair value hierarchy in Notes 6 through 8. Had these financings been included in the Bank's fair value hierarchy, they would have primarily been classified in level 3 as of December 2014 and December 2013.

As of both December 2014 and December 2013, other secured financings included \$142 million related to transfers of financial assets accounted for as financings rather than sales. Such financings were collateralized by financial assets included in "Financial instruments owned, at fair value" and "Loans receivable." Of the \$142 million in other secured financings outstanding as of December 2014, \$58 million had a contractual maturity of one year or less. All of the other secured financings outstanding as of December 2013 had a contractual maturity of one year or less.

As of December 2014 and December 2013, the aggregate contractual principal amount of other secured financings for which the fair value option was elected approximated their fair value.

Collateral Received and Pledged

The Bank receives cash and securities (e.g., U.S. government and federal agency, other sovereign and corporate obligations) as collateral, primarily in connection with resale agreements, derivative transactions and customer margin loans. The Bank obtains cash and securities as collateral on an upfront or contingent basis for derivative instruments and collateralized agreements to reduce its credit exposure to individual counterparties.

In many cases, the Bank is permitted to deliver or repledge these financial instruments received as collateral when entering into repurchase agreements or collateralizing derivative transactions.

The Bank also pledges certain financial instruments owned, at fair value in connection with repurchase agreements and other secured financings. The Bank also pledges loans receivable in connection with other secured financings. These assets are pledged to counterparties who may or may not have the right to deliver or repledge them.

The table below presents financial instruments at fair value received as collateral that were available to be delivered or repledged and were delivered or repledged by the Bank.

	As of December	
\$ in millions	2014	2013
Collateral available to be delivered or repledged	\$ 5,971	\$ 8,956
Collateral that was delivered or repledged	4,635	6,670

The table below presents information about assets pledged.

	As of D	December
\$ in millions	2014	2013
Financial instruments owned, at fair		
value, pledged to counterparties that:		
Had the right to deliver or repledge	\$ 4,976	\$ 7,443
Did not have the right to deliver or		
repledge	78	66
Loans receivable pledged to		
counterparties that:		
Did not have the right to deliver		
or repledge	65	77

Note 11.

Variable Interest Entities

VIEs generally finance the purchase of assets by issuing debt and equity securities that are either collateralized by or indexed to the assets held by the VIE. The debt and equity securities issued by a VIE may include tranches of varying levels of subordination. See Note 3 for the Bank's consolidation policies, including the definition of a VIE.

The Bank makes investments in and loans to VIEs that hold real estate and distressed loans. The Bank enters into basis swaps on assets held by other asset-backed VIEs. The Bank also enters into derivatives with certain mortgage-backed and corporate CDO and CLO VIEs. The Bank generally enters into derivatives with other counterparties to mitigate its risk from derivatives with these VIEs.

VIE Consolidation Analysis

A variable interest in a VIE is an investment (e.g., debt or equity securities) or other interest (e.g., derivatives or loans and lending commitments) in a VIE that will absorb portions of the VIE's expected losses and/or receive portions of the VIE's expected residual returns.

The Bank's variable interests in VIEs include loan and lending commitments and derivatives that may include interest rate, foreign currency and/or credit risk.

The enterprise with a controlling financial interest in a VIE is known as the primary beneficiary and consolidates the VIE. The Bank determines whether it is the primary beneficiary of a VIE by performing an analysis that principally considers:

- Which variable interest holder has the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance;
- Which variable interest holder has the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE;

- The VIE's purpose and design, including the risks the VIE was designed to create and pass through to its variable interest holders;
- The VIE's capital structure;
- The terms between the VIE and its variable interest holders and other parties involved with the VIE; and
- Related-party relationships.

The Bank reassesses its initial evaluation of whether an entity is a VIE when certain reconsideration events occur. The Bank reassesses its determination of whether it is the primary beneficiary of a VIE on an ongoing basis based on current facts and circumstances.

Nonconsolidated VIEs

The Bank's exposure to the obligations of VIEs is generally limited to its interests in these entities. In certain instances, the Bank provides guarantees, including derivative guarantees, to VIEs or holders of variable interests in VIEs.

The tables below present information about nonconsolidated VIEs in which the Bank holds variable interests. Nonconsolidated VIEs are aggregated based on principal business activity. The nature of the Bank's variable interests can take different forms, as described in the rows under maximum exposure to loss. In the tables below:

- The maximum exposure to loss excludes the benefit of offsetting financial instruments that are held to mitigate the risks associated with these variable interests.
- For retained and purchased interests, and loans and investments, the maximum exposure to loss is the carrying value of these interests.

GOLDMAN SACHS BANK USA AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

 For commitments and guarantees, and derivatives, the maximum exposure to loss is the notional amount, which does not represent anticipated losses and also has not been reduced by unrealized losses already recorded. As a result, the maximum exposure to loss exceeds liabilities recorded for commitments and guarantees, and derivatives provided to VIEs. The carrying value of all assets and liabilities held by the Bank related to its variable interests in nonconsolidated VIEs are included in the consolidated statement of financial condition in "Financial instruments owned, at fair value" and "Financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased, at fair value."

	Nonconsolidated VIEs as of December 2014				
\$ in millions	Mortgage and other asset-backed	Corporate CDOs and CLOs	Real estate, credit-related and other investing	Total	
Assets in VIE	\$3,255 ¹	\$ 91	\$1,252	\$4,598	
Carrying Value of the Bank's Variable Interests					
Assets	54	2	456	512	
Liabilities	-	_	2	2	
Maximum Exposure to Loss in Nonconsolidated VIEs					
Retained interest	43	_	_	43	
Purchased interests	3	_	_	3	
Commitments and guarantees	-	_	246	246	
Derivatives	3,204	96	_	3,300	
Loans and investments	_	_	456	456	
Total	\$3,250 ¹	\$96	\$702	\$4,048	

\$ in millions	Nonconsolidated VIEs as of December 2013			
	Mortgage and other asset-backed	Corporate CDOs and CLOs	Real estate, credit-related and other investing	Total
Assets in VIE	\$ 1,849 ¹	\$ 677	\$ 817	\$ 3,343
Carrying Value of the Bank's Variable Interests				
Assets	22	9	332	363
Liabilities	18	-	2	20
Maximum Exposure to Loss in Nonconsolidated VIEs				
Purchased interests	21	_	_	21
Commitments and guarantees	_	_	319	319
Derivatives	1,686	47	-	1,733
Loans and investments	-	-	332	332
Total	\$ 1,707 ¹	\$ 47	\$ 651	\$ 2,405

^{1.} Assets in VIE and maximum exposure to loss include \$395 million and \$395 million, respectively, as of December 2014, and \$586 million and \$607 million, respectively, as of December 2013, related to CDOs backed by mortgage obligations.

Note 12. Other Assets

Other assets are generally less liquid, non-financial assets. The table below presents other assets by type.

	As of Decemb		
\$ in millions	2014	2013	
Receivables from affiliates ¹	\$ 469	\$ 278	
Federal Reserve Board shares	412	409	
Income tax-related assets ²	265	188	
Prepaid expenses	129	112	
Other ³	228	14	
Total	\$1,503	\$1,001	

- 1. See Note 19 for further information about related party transactions.
- 2. See Note 22 for further information about income taxes.
- 3. Includes \$209 million related to investments in qualified affordable housing projects as of December 2014.

Note 13. **Deposits**

The table below presents the Bank's deposits by type:

	As of De	ecember
\$ in millions	2014	2013
Savings	\$44,732	\$44,105
Time	28,105	19,946
Demand	413	341
Total ¹	\$73,250	\$64,392

1. Substantially all of the Bank's deposits were held in the U.S.

Savings accounts are comprised of money market deposit accounts (MMDA) and negotiable order of withdrawal accounts (NOW). MMDA and NOW are interest-bearing accounts that have no stated maturity or expiration date. The depositor may be required by the Bank to give written notice of intended withdrawals not less than seven days before such withdrawals are made.

Time deposits consist primarily of brokered certificates of deposit which have stipulated maturity dates and rates of interest. Early withdrawals of time deposits are generally prohibited.

Demand deposit accounts are accounts that may be interestbearing, that have no stated maturity or expiration date and are not subject to restrictions with respect to the timing and number of transactions that deposit holders may execute.

Savings and demand deposits were recorded based on the amount of cash received plus accrued interest, which approximates fair value. In addition, the Bank designates certain derivatives as fair value hedges to convert substantially all of its time deposits not accounted for at fair value from fixed-rate obligations into floating rate obligations. Accordingly, the carrying value of time deposits approximated fair value as of December 2014 and December 2013. While these savings, time and demand deposits are carried at amounts that approximate fair value, they are not accounted for at fair value under the fair value option or at fair value in accordance with other U.S. GAAP and therefore are not included in the Bank's fair value hierarchy in Notes 6 through 8. Had these deposits been included in the Bank's fair value hierarchy, they would have been classified in level 2 as of December 2014 and December 2013.

The Bank's time deposits by contractual maturity are as follows:

\$ in millions As of December 20		
2015	\$ 9,235	
2016	3,756	
2017	4,070	
2018	2,416	
2019	2,953	
2020 - thereafter	5,675	
Total ¹	\$ 28,105	

Includes \$4,325 million greater than \$100,000, of which \$952 million matures within three months, \$937 million matures within three to six months, \$2,170 million matures within six to twelve months, and \$266 million matures after twelve months.

The table below presents the balances of time deposits accounted for under the fair value option:

		As of December			
\$ in millions	2014		2013	3	
		Fair		Fair	
	Principal	Value	Principal	Value	
Maturity					
< 1 year	\$2,970	\$2,987	\$ 561	\$ 569	
Maturity					
> 1 year	2,957	2,887	1,922	1,806	
Total	\$5,927	\$5,874	\$2,483	\$2,375	

Note 14. Borrowings

Subordinated Borrowings

The Bank has an \$8.00 billion revolving subordinated loan agreement with Group Inc., which matures in 2018. As of December 2014, outstanding subordinated borrowings were \$2.00 billion. The carrying value of the subordinated borrowings generally approximated fair value. As of December 2013, there were no outstanding subordinated borrowings with Group Inc. under this agreement. Amounts borrowed under this agreement bear interest at the federal funds rate plus 1.85% per annum. Any amounts payable under the agreement would be subordinate to the claims of certain other creditors of the Bank, including depositors and regulatory agencies.

Other Borrowings

In December 2014, the Bank received approval to access funding from the Federal Home Loan Bank. As of December 2014, we had not accessed this funding. In March 2015, the Bank drew \$1.00 billion of funding.

Note 15. Other Liabilities and Accrued Expenses

The table below presents other liabilities and accrued expenses by type.

	As of December			
\$ in millions	2014	2013		
Income tax-related liabilities ¹	\$1,113	\$1,191		
Payables to affiliates	897	148		
Accrued expenses	498	370		
Other ²	204	431		
Total	\$2,712	\$2,140		

- 1. See Note 22 for further information about income taxes.
- Consists primarily of unsecured borrowings from affiliates, and includes \$59 million and \$330 million of borrowings with a maturity greater than one year as of December 2014 and December 2013, respectively.

Note 16.

Commitments, Contingencies and Guarantees

Commitments

The table below presents the Bank's commitments.

_	Commitment Amount by Period of Expiration as of December 2014				Total Commitments as of December	
\$ in millions	2015	2016- 2017	2018- 2019	2020- Thereafter	2014	2013
Commitments to extend credit						
Commercial lending:						
Investment-grade	\$9,177	\$13,516	\$32,845	\$2,452	\$57,990	\$53,890
Non-investment-grade	3,504	4,686	11,617	3,179	22,986	19,824
Warehouse financing	1,306	1,088	112	140	2,646	1,716
Total commitments to extend credit	13,987	19,290	44,574	5,771	83,622	75,430
Contingent and forward starting resale agreements	46	136	324	_	506	59
Forward starting repurchase agreements	677	_	_	_	677	3
Letters of credit	_	_	_	_	_	26
Investment commitments	_	26	5	284	315	126
Other	571	_	_	_	571	319
Total commitments	\$15,281	\$19,452	\$44,903	\$6,055	\$85,691	\$75,963

Commitments to Extend Credit

The Bank's commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend with fixed termination dates and depend on the satisfaction of all contractual conditions to borrowing. These commitments are presented net of amounts syndicated to third parties. The total commitment amount does not necessarily reflect actual future cash flows because the Bank may syndicate all or substantial additional portions of these commitments. In addition, commitments can expire unused or be reduced or cancelled at the counterparty's request.

The fair value of commitments accounted for under the fair value option was a liability of \$253 million and \$674 million as of December 2014 and December 2013, respectively.

As of December 2014 and December 2013, \$60.35 billion and \$31.47 billion, respectively, of the Bank's lending commitments were held for investment and were accounted for on an accrual basis. See Note 9 for further information about such commitments.

Commercial Lending. The Bank's commercial lending commitments are extended to investment-grade and non-investment-grade corporate borrowers. Commitments to investment-grade corporate borrowers are principally used for operating liquidity and general corporate purposes. The Bank also extends lending commitments in connection with contingent acquisition financing and other types of corporate lending as well as commercial real estate financing. Commitments that are extended for contingent acquisition financing are often intended to be short-term in nature, as borrowers often seek to replace them with other funding sources.

Sumitomo Mitsui Financial Group, Inc. (SMFG) provides the Bank and its affiliates with credit loss protection on certain approved loan commitments (primarily investment-grade commercial lending commitments). The notional amount of such loan commitments was \$25.45 billion and \$26.65 billion as of December 2014 and December 2013, respectively. The credit loss protection on loan commitments provided by SMFG is generally limited to 95% of the first loss the Bank and its affiliates realize on such commitments, up to a maximum of approximately \$950 million. In addition, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions, upon the Bank's request, SMFG will provide protection for 70% of additional losses on such

commitments, up to a maximum of \$1.13 billion, of which \$768 million and \$870 million of protection had been provided as of December 2014 and December 2013, respectively. The Bank also uses other financial instruments to mitigate credit risks related to certain commitments not covered by SMFG. These instruments primarily include credit default swaps that reference the same or similar underlying instrument or entity or credit default swaps that reference a market index.

Warehouse Financing. The Bank provides financing to clients who warehouse financial assets. These arrangements are secured by the warehoused assets, primarily consisting of corporate loans and commercial mortgage loans.

Contingent and Forward Starting Resale Agreements/Forward Starting Repurchase Agreements

The Bank enters into resale agreements and repurchase agreements that settle at a future date, generally within three business days. The Bank also enters into commitments to provide contingent financing to its clients and counterparties through resale agreements. The Bank's funding of these commitments depends on the satisfaction of all contractual conditions to the resale agreement and these commitments can expire unused.

Letters of Credit

The Bank had commitments under letters of credit issued by various banks which the Bank provided to counterparties in lieu of securities or cash to satisfy various collateral and margin deposit requirements.

Investment Commitments

The Bank's investment commitments consist of commitments to invest in securities, real estate and other assets.

Contingencies

Legal Proceedings. See Note 17 for information on legal proceedings.

Certain Mortgage-Related Contingencies. There are multiple areas of focus by regulators, governmental agencies and others within the mortgage market that may impact originators, issuers, servicers and investors. There remains significant uncertainty surrounding the nature and extent of any potential exposure for participants in this market.

• Representations and Warranties. Substantially all of the activity described below, with respect to representations and warranties, occurred prior to the November 2008 reorganization of the Bank. Any losses incurred within the entities contributed during the reorganization are thus reimbursed under the Guarantee (see Notes 1 and 19 for additional information regarding the Guarantee). As such, there will not be an impact to the continuing operations or results of the Bank with respect to these matters.

The Bank has not been a significant originator of residential mortgage loans. The Bank did purchase loans originated by others and generally received loan level representations of the type described below from the originators. During the period 2005 through 2008, the Bank sold approximately \$10 billion of loans to government-sponsored enterprises and approximately \$11 billion of loans to other third parties. In addition, the Bank transferred loans to trusts and other mortgage securitization vehicles. As of December 2014 and December 2013, the outstanding balance of the loans transferred to trusts and other mortgage securitization vehicles during the period 2005 through 2008 was approximately \$25 billion and \$29 billion, respectively. This amount reflects paydowns and cumulative losses of approximately \$100 billion (\$23 billion of which are cumulative losses) as of December 2014 and approximately \$96 billion (\$22 billion of which are cumulative losses) as of December 2013. A small number of these Goldman Sachs-issued securitizations with an outstanding principal balance of \$401 million and total paydowns and cumulative losses of \$1.66 billion (\$550 million of which were cumulative losses) as of December 2014, and an outstanding principal balance of \$463 million and total paydowns and cumulative losses of \$1.60 billion (\$534 million of which are cumulative losses) as of December 2013, were structured with credit protection obtained from monoline insurers. In connection with both sales of loans and securitizations, the Bank provided loan level representations of the type described below and/or assigned the loan level representations from the party from whom the Bank purchased the loans.

The loan level representations made in connection with the sale or securitization of mortgage loans varied among transactions but were generally detailed representations applicable to each loan in the portfolio and addressed matters relating to the property, the borrower and the note. These representations generally included, but were not limited to, the following: (i) certain attributes of the borrower's financial status; (ii) loan-to-value ratios, owner occupancy status and certain other characteristics of the property; (iii) the lien position; (iv) the fact that the loan was originated in compliance with law; and (v) completeness of the loan documentation.

The Bank has received repurchase claims for residential mortgage loans based on alleged breaches of representations, from government-sponsored enterprises, other third parties, trusts and other mortgage securitization vehicles, which have not been significant. During the years ended December 2014 and December 2013, the Bank repurchased loans with an unpaid principal balance of less than \$10 million. The loss related to the repurchase of these loans incurred by the Bank was reimbursed under the Guarantee for 2014 and 2013. The Bank has received a communication from counsel purporting to represent certain institutional investors in portions of Goldman Sachs-issued securitizations between 2003 and 2007, such securitizations having a total original notional face amount of approximately \$150 billion, offering to enter into a "settlement dialogue" with respect to alleged breaches of representations made by the Bank in connection with such offerings.

Ultimately, the Bank's exposure to claims for repurchase of residential mortgage loans based on alleged breaches of representations will depend on a number of factors including the following: (i) the extent to which these claims are actually made within the statute of limitations taking into consideration the agreements to toll the statute of limitations the Bank has entered into with trustees representing trusts; (ii) the extent to which there are underlying breaches of representations that give rise to valid claims for repurchase; (iii) in the case of loans originated by others, the extent to which the Bank could be held liable and, if it is, the Bank's ability to pursue and collect on any claims against the parties who made representations to the Bank; (iv) macroeconomic factors, including developments in the residential real estate market; and (v) legal and regulatory developments. Based upon the large number of defaults in residential mortgages, including those sold or securitized by the Bank, there is a potential for increasing claims for repurchases. However, the Bank is not in a position to make a meaningful estimate of that exposure at this time.

 Foreclosure and Other Mortgage Loan Servicing Practices and Procedures. Losses arising from the foreclosure and other mortgage loan servicing practices and procedures described below have been reimbursed by Group Inc. As a result, no reserves have been recorded by the Bank in connection with these matters.

The Bank had received a number of requests for information from regulators and other agencies, including state attorneys general and banking regulators, as part of an industry-wide focus on the practices of lenders and servicers in connection with foreclosure proceedings and other aspects of mortgage loan servicing practices and procedures. The requests sought information about the foreclosure and servicing protocols and activities of Litton Loan Servicing L.P. (Litton), a residential mortgage servicing subsidiary sold by the Bank to Ocwen Financial Corporation (Ocwen) in the third quarter of 2011. The Bank is cooperating with the requests and these inquiries may result in the imposition of fines or other regulatory action.

In connection with the sale of Litton to Ocwen in 2011. the Bank provided customary representations and warranties, and indemnities for breaches of these representations and warranties, to Ocwen. These indemnities are subject to various limitations, and are capped at approximately \$50 million. The Bank has not yet received any claims under these indemnities. The Bank also agreed to provide specific indemnities to Ocwen related to claims made by third parties with respect to servicing activities during the period that Litton was owned by the Bank and which are in excess of the related reserves accrued for such matters by Litton at the time of the sale. These indemnities are capped at approximately \$125 million. As of December 2014, claims under these indemnities and payments made in connection with these claims were not material.

The Bank further agreed to provide indemnities to Ocwen not subject to a cap, which primarily relate to potential liabilities constituting fines or civil monetary penalties which could be imposed in settlements with U.S. states attorneys general or in consent orders with the U.S. federal bank regulatory agencies or the New York State Department of Financial Services, in each case relating to Litton's foreclosure and servicing practices while it was owned by the Bank. Group Inc. and the Bank have entered into a settlement with the Federal Reserve Board relating to foreclosure and servicing matters.

Under the Litton sale agreement the Bank also retained liabilities associated with claims related to Litton's failure to maintain lender-placed mortgage insurance, obligations to repurchase certain loans from government-sponsored enterprises, subpoenas from one of Litton's regulators, and fines or civil penalties imposed by the Federal Reserve Board or the New York State Department of Financial Services in connection with certain compliance matters. Management does not believe, based on currently available information, that any payments under these indemnities will have a material adverse effect on the Bank's financial condition.

Guarantees

Derivative Guarantees. The Bank enters into various derivatives that meet the definition of a guarantee under U.S. GAAP, including written currency contracts, and interest rate caps, floors and swaptions. These derivatives are risk managed together with derivatives that do not meet the definition of a guarantee, and therefore the amounts in the tables below do not reflect the Bank's overall risk related to its derivative activities. Disclosures about derivatives are not required if they may be cash settled and the Bank has no basis to conclude it is probable that the counterparties held the underlying instruments at inception of the contract. The Bank has concluded that these conditions have been met for certain large, internationally active commercial and investment bank counterparties, clearing counterparties and certain counterparties. Accordingly, the Bank has not included such contracts in the tables below.

Derivatives are accounted for at fair value and therefore the carrying value is considered the best indication of payment/performance risk for individual contracts. However, the carrying values in the tables below exclude the effect of counterparty and cash collateral netting.

Securities Lending Indemnifications. The Bank, in its capacity as an agency lender, indemnifies most of its securities lending customers against losses incurred in the event that borrowers do not return securities and the collateral held is insufficient to cover the market value of the securities borrowed. Collateral held by the lenders in connection with securities lending indemnifications was \$32.46 billion and \$32.00 billion as of December 2014 and December 2013, respectively. Because the contractual nature of these arrangements requires the Bank to obtain collateral with a market value that exceeds the value of the securities lent to the borrower, there is minimal performance risk associated with these guarantees.

Other Financial Guarantees. In the ordinary course of business, the Bank provides other financial guarantees of the obligations of third parties (e.g., standby letters of credit and other guarantees to enable clients to complete transactions). These guarantees represent obligations to make payments to beneficiaries if the guaranteed party fails to fulfill its obligation under a contractual arrangement with that beneficiary.

The tables below present information about certain derivatives that meet the definition of a guarantee, securities lending indemnifications and certain other guarantees. The maximum payout in the tables below is based on the notional amount of the contract and therefore does not represent anticipated losses. See Note 7 for further information about credit derivatives that meet the definition of a guarantee which are not included below. The tables below also exclude certain commitments to issue standby letters of credit that are included in "Commitments to extend credit". See the table in "Commitments" above for a summary of the Bank's commitments.

		As of December 2014					
				Securities		Other	
				lending		financial	
\$ in millions		Derivatives	inden	nnifications		guarantees	
Carrying Value of							
Net Liability	\$	1,118	\$	_	\$	14	
		•					
Maximum Payout/N	Notio	nal Amour 34,010		Period of Ex	xpir \$	ation 145	
Maximum Payout/N	Notio				xpir \$		
Maximum Payout/N 2015	Notio \$	34,010			xpir \$	145	
Maximum Payout/N 2015 2016 - 2017	Notio	34,010 12,146			xpir \$	145 377	

			Securities		Other	
			lending		financial	
D	erivatives	inder	mnifications		guarantees	
\$	439	\$	_	\$	(18)	
Maximum Payout/Notional Amount by Period of Expiration						
\$	33,277	\$	31,175	\$	383	
	11,924		_		422	
	3,937		_		931	
	1,784		_		680	
\$	50,922	\$	31,175	\$	2,416	
	\$	State	\$ 439 \$ lotional Amount by F \$ 33,277 \$ 11,924 3,937 1,784	lending Derivatives indemnifications	lending	

As of December 2013

Other Representations, Warranties and Indemnifications. The Bank provides representations and warranties to counterparties in connection with a variety of commercial transactions and occasionally indemnifies them against potential losses caused by the breach of those representations and warranties. The Bank may also provide indemnifications protecting against changes in or adverse application of certain U.S. tax laws in connection with ordinary-course transactions such as borrowings or derivatives.

These indemnifications generally are standard contractual terms and are entered into in the ordinary course of business. Generally, there are no stated or notional amounts included in these indemnifications, and the contingencies triggering the obligation to indemnify are not expected to occur. The Bank is unable to develop an estimate of the maximum payout under these guarantees indemnifications. However, management believes that it is unlikely the Bank will have to make any material payments under these arrangements, and no material liabilities related to these arrangements have been recognized in the consolidated statements of financial condition as of December 2014 or December 2013.

Note 17. Legal Proceedings

The Bank is involved in a number of judicial, regulatory and other proceedings concerning matters arising in connection with the conduct of the Bank's businesses. Many of these proceedings are in early stages, and many of these cases seek an indeterminate amount of damages. For most such cases, however, the Bank expects that it would receive reimbursement from Group Inc. under the Guarantee agreement (see Notes 1 and 19).

With respect to material proceedings, management is generally unable to estimate a range of reasonably possible loss for matters, including where (i) actual or potential plaintiffs have not claimed an amount of money damages, except in those instances where management can otherwise determine an appropriate amount; (ii) the matters are in early stages; (iii) matters relate to regulatory investigations or reviews, except in those instances where management can otherwise determine an appropriate amount, (iv) there is uncertainty as to the likelihood of a class being certified or the ultimate size of the class; (v) there is uncertainty as to the outcome of pending appeals or motions; (vi) there are significant factual issues to be resolved; and/or (vii) there are novel legal issues presented.

Management does not believe, based on currently available information, that the outcomes of any matters will have a material adverse effect on the Bank's financial condition, though the outcomes could be material to the Bank's operating results for any particular period, depending, in part, upon the operating results for such period.

Note 18. Regulation and Capital Adequacy

The Bank is regulated as described in Note 1, and is subject to consolidated risk-based regulatory capital requirements as described below. For purposes of assessing the adequacy of its capital, the Bank computes its capital requirements in accordance with the risk-based capital regulations applicable to state member banks.

These capital requirements are expressed as capital ratios that compare measures of regulatory capital to risk-weighted assets (RWAs). The Bank's capital levels are subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components of capital, risk weightings and other factors. In addition, the Bank is subject to requirements with respect to leverage.

Applicable Capital Framework

As of December 2013, the Bank was subject to the risk based capital regulations of the Federal Reserve Board that were based on the Basel I Capital Accord of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (Basel Committee), and incorporated the revised market risk regulatory capital requirements (together, the Prior Capital Rules).

As of January 1, 2014, the Bank became subject to the Federal Reserve Board's revised risk-based capital and leverage regulations, subject to certain transitional provisions (Revised Capital Framework). These regulations are largely based on the Basel Committee's final capital framework for strengthening international capital standards (Basel III) and also implement certain provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act. Under the Revised Capital Framework, the Bank is an "Advanced approach" banking organization.

The Bank was notified in the first quarter of 2014 that it had completed a "parallel run" to the satisfaction of the Federal Reserve Board, as required under the Revised Capital Framework. As such, additional changes in the Bank's capital requirements became effective on April 1, 2014.

Beginning on January 1, 2014, regulatory capital was calculated based on the Revised Capital Framework. Beginning April 1, 2014, there were no changes to the calculation of regulatory capital, but RWAs were calculated using (i) the Prior Capital Rules, adjusted for certain items related to capital deductions under the previous definition of regulatory capital and for the phase-in of new capital deductions (Hybrid Capital Rules), and (ii) the Advanced approach and market risk rules set out in the Revised Capital Framework (together, the Basel III Advanced Rules). The lower of the ratios calculated under the Hybrid Capital Rules and those calculated under the Basel III Advanced Rules are the binding regulatory capital requirements for the Bank. The ratios calculated under the Hybrid rules were lower than those calculated under the Basel III Advanced Rules and therefore were the binding ratios for the Bank as of December 2014.

As a result of the changes in the applicable capital framework in 2014, the Bank's capital ratios as of December 2014 and those as of December 2013 were calculated on a different basis and, accordingly, are not comparable.

Effective on January 1, 2015, regulatory capital continues to be calculated under the Revised Capital Framework, but RWAs are required to be calculated under the Basel III Advanced Rules, as well as the Standardized approach and market risk rules set out in the Revised Capital Framework (together, the Standardized Capital Rules). The lower of the ratios calculated under the Basel III Advanced Rules and those calculated under the Standardized Capital Rules are the binding regulatory capital requirements for the Bank.

Regulatory Capital and Capital Ratios. The Revised Capital Framework changed the definition of regulatory capital to include a new capital measure called Common Equity Tier 1 (CET1) and the related regulatory capital ratio of CET1 to RWAs (CET1 ratio), and changed the definition of Tier 1 capital. The Revised Capital Framework also increased the level of certain minimum risk-based capital and leverage ratios applicable to the Bank.

The U.S. Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 (FDICIA), among other things, requires the federal banking agencies to take "prompt corrective action" (PCA) in respect of depository institutions that do not meet specified capital requirements. FDICIA establishes five capital categories for FDIC-insured banks: well-capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized and critically undercapitalized.

The table below presents the minimum ratios under the Revised Capital Framework as of December 2014, January 2015, as well as the minimum ratio the Bank expects will apply at the end of the transitional period beginning January 2019.

	December 2014 Minimum Ratio	January 2015 Minimum Ratio	January 2015 PCA "well- capitalized" Minimum	January 2019 Minimum Ratio
Common Equity Tier 1 ratio	4.0 %	4.5%	6.5%	7.0%
Tier 1 capital ratio	5.5 % ²	6.0%	8.0%	8.5%
Total capital ratio	8.0 % ²	8.0%	10.0%	10.5%
Tier 1 leverage ratio ¹	4.0 % ²	4.0%	5.0%	4.0%

^{1.} The Tier 1 leverage ratio is defined as Tier 1 capital divided by average adjusted total assets (which include adjustments for goodwill and identifiable intangible assets).

Under the Revised Capital Framework, as of January 1, 2014, the Bank became subject to a new minimum CET1 ratio requirement of 4.0%. As of January 2015, the minimum CET1 ratio for the Bank increased from 4.0% to 4.5% and the minimum Tier 1 capital ratio increased from 5.5% to 6.0%. The minimum Total Capital ratio remained at 8.0%. In addition, these minimum ratios will be supplemented by a new capital conservation buffer, consisting entirely of capital that qualifies as CET1, that phases in, beginning on January 1, 2016, in increments of 0.625% per year until it reaches 2.5% of RWAs on January 1, 2019.

^{2.} In order to meet the quantitative requirements for being "well-capitalized," the Bank must meet a higher required minimum Tier 1 capital ratio, Total capital ratio, and Tier 1 leverage ratio of 6.0%, 10.0% and 5.0%, respectively.

As noted in the table below, the Bank was in compliance with these minimum capital requirements and the well-capitalized standard as of December 2014 and December 2013. Failure to comply with these capital requirements could result in restrictions being imposed by the Bank's regulators.

Certain aspects of the Revised Capital Framework's requirements phase in over time (transitional provisions), including the introduction of new capital buffers and certain deductions from regulatory capital (such as investments in nonconsolidated financial institutions). The minimum CET1, Tier 1 and Total capital ratios applicable to the Bank will increase as the transitional provisions phase in and new capital buffers are introduced.

Definition of Risk-Weighted Assets. As of December 2014, RWAs were calculated under both the Basel III Advanced Rules and the Hybrid Capital Rules. Under both the Basel III Advanced Rules and the Hybrid Capital Rules, certain amounts not required to be deducted from CET1 under the transitional provisions are either deducted from Tier 1 capital or are risk weighted.

The primary difference between the Basel III Advanced Rules and the Hybrid Capital Rules is that the latter utilizes prescribed risk-weightings for credit RWAs and does not contemplate the use of internal models to compute exposure for credit risk on derivatives and securities financing transactions, whereas the Basel III Advanced Rules permit the use of such models, subject to supervisory approval. In addition, RWAs under the Hybrid Capital Rules depend largely on the type of counterparty (e.g., whether the counterparty is a sovereign, bank, broker-dealer or other entity), rather than on internal assessments of each counterparty's creditworthiness. Furthermore, the Hybrid Capital Rules do not include a capital requirement for operational risk.

As of December 2013, the Bank calculated RWAs under the Prior Capital Rules.

December 2014 and 2013 Capital Ratios and RWAs.

The ratios calculated under the Hybrid Capital Rules presented in the table below were lower than those calculated under the Basel III Advanced Rules, and therefore were the binding ratios for the Bank as of December 2014. The Bank's ratios calculated under the Standardized Capital Rules as of December 2014 are also presented in the table below, although the ratios are not binding until January 2015.

	As of		
\$ in millions	December 2014		
Common Equity Tier 1	\$ 21,293		
Tier 1 capital	\$ 21,293		
Tier 2 capital	\$ 2,182		
Total capital	\$ 23,475		
Hybrid RWAs	\$ 149,963		
CET1 ratio	14.2%		
Tier 1 capital ratio	14.2%		
Total capital ratio	15.7%		
Tier 1 leverage ratio	17.3%		
Standardized RWAs	\$ 200,605		
CET1 ratio	10.6%		
Tier 1 capital ratio	10.6%		
Total capital ratio	11.7%		

The table below presents information as of December 2013 regarding the Bank's regulatory ratios under the Prior Capital Rules.

	As of		
\$ in millions	December 2013		
Tier 1 capital	\$ 20,086		
Tier 2 capital	\$ 116		
Total capital	\$ 20,202		
Risk-weighted assets	\$ 134,935		
Tier 1 capital ratio	14.9%		
Total capital ratio	15.0%		
Tier 1 leverage ratio	16.9%		

Supplementary Leverage Ratio (Unaudited)

The Revised Capital Framework introduces a new supplementary leverage ratio for Advanced approach banking organizations. Under amendments to the Revised Capital Framework, the U.S. federal bank regulatory agencies approved a final rule that implements the supplementary leverage ratio aligned with the definition of leverage established by the Basel Committee. The supplementary leverage ratio compares Tier 1 capital to a measure of leverage exposure, defined as the sum of the Bank's quarterly average assets less certain deductions plus certain off-balance-sheet exposures, including a measure of derivatives exposures and commitments. Under Federal Reserve Board rules, commencing on January 1, 2018, in order to be considered a "well-capitalized" depository institution, the Bank must have a supplementary leverage ratio of 6.0% or greater. As of December 2014, the Bank's estimated supplementary leverage ratio under this rule and on a fully phased-in basis was 6.0%. This estimated supplementary leverage ratio is based on our current interpretation and understanding of this rule and may evolve as we discuss its interpretation and application with our regulators.

Domestic Systemically Important Banking Institutions (D-SIBs)

The Basel Committee published its final guidelines for calculating incremental capital requirements for domestic systemically important banking institutions (D-SIBs). The impact of these guidelines on the regulatory capital requirements of the Bank will depend on how they are implemented by the banking regulators in the United States.

Required Reserves

The deposits of the Bank are insured by the FDIC to the extent provided by law. The Federal Reserve Board requires that the Bank maintain cash reserves with the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. The amount deposited by the Bank at the Federal Reserve Bank of New York was \$38.68 billion and \$50.39 billion as of December 2014 and December 2013, respectively, which exceeded required reserve amounts by \$38.57 billion and \$50.29 billion as of December 2014 and December 2013, respectively.

Restrictions on Payments

Net assets of the Bank are restricted as to the payment of dividends to Group Inc. In addition to limitations on the payment of dividends imposed by federal and state laws, the Federal Reserve Board and the FDIC have authority to prohibit or limit the payment of dividends by the banking organizations they supervise if, in their opinion, payment of a dividend would constitute an unsafe or unsound practice in light of the financial condition of the banking organization. During 2014, the Bank paid no dividends. During 2013, the Bank paid dividends of \$2.00 billion. As of December 2014 and December 2013, the Bank could have declared additional dividends of \$2.15 billion and \$1.17 billion, respectively, to Group Inc.

Stress Test

Under rules adopted by the Federal Reserve Board in 2012 under the Dodd-Frank Act, the Bank is required to conduct stress tests on an annual basis, to submit the results to the Federal Reserve Board, and to make a summary of certain results public. The rules require that the board of directors of the Bank, among other things, consider the results of the stress tests in the normal course of the Bank's business including, but not limited to, its capital planning, assessment of capital adequacy and risk management practices.

Resolution Plan

The FDIC issued a rule requiring each insured depository institution with \$50 billion or more in assets, such as the Bank, to provide a resolution plan. The Bank's resolution plan must, among other things, demonstrate that it is adequately protected from risks arising from Group Inc.'s other entities. The Bank submitted its 2013 resolution plan to its regulators in September 2013 and its 2014 resolution plan in June 2014. In December 2014, the FDIC issued guidance relating to insured depository institutions' resolution plans. The Bank's 2015 resolution plan is required to be submitted on or before July 1, 2015.

Note 19. Transactions with Related Parties

Transactions between the Bank and Group Inc. and its subsidiaries and affiliates are regulated by the Federal Reserve Board. These regulations generally limit the types and amounts of transactions (including credit extensions from the Bank) that may take place and generally require those transactions to be on terms that are at least as favorable to the Bank as prevailing terms for comparable transactions with non-affiliates. These regulations generally do not apply to transactions between the Bank and its subsidiaries.

Amounts outstanding to/from affiliates, as defined by U.S. GAAP, are presented in the table below:

	As of December			oer
\$ in millions		2014		2013
Assets				
Cash	\$	25	\$	22
Securities purchased under agreements				
to resell, at fair value	3	3,646		1,107
Receivables from customers and				
counterparties, brokers, dealers				
and clearing organizations	1	1,330		1,749
Financial instruments owned, at fair				
value		461		428
Other assets		469		257
Total	\$ 5	5,931	\$	3,563
Liabilities				
Deposits due to affiliates	\$ 3	3,145	\$	3,139
Securities sold under agreements to				
repurchase, at fair value	(5,578		6,983
Payables to customers and				
counterparties, brokers, dealers				
and clearing organizations		276		106
Financial instruments sold, but not yet				
purchased, at fair value		605		631
Subordinated borrowings	2	2,000		_
Other liabilities and accrued expenses				
(includes \$37 and \$99 at fair value as				
of December 2014 and December				
2013, respectively)	•	1,015		602
Total	\$ 13	3,619	\$	11,461

Group Inc. Guarantee Agreement

In November 2008, Group Inc. executed a reorganization of the Bank which involved the transfer of assets and operations to the Bank. In connection with this transfer, Group Inc. entered into the Guarantee (see Note 1) with the Bank whereby Group Inc. agreed to (i) purchase from the Bank certain transferred assets or reimburse the Bank for certain losses relating to those assets; (ii) reimburse the Bank for credit-related losses from assets transferred to the Bank; and (iii) protect the Bank or reimburse it for certain losses arising from derivatives and mortgage servicing rights transferred to the Bank.

As of November 28, 2013, the provisions of the Guarantee relating to derivatives transferred into the Bank were no longer in effect. The other provisions of the Guarantee were still in effect as of December 2014.

In accordance with the Guarantee, as of December 2014 and December 2013, Group Inc. was also required to pledge approximately \$7 million and \$9 million, respectively, of collateral to the Bank.

The Bank accounts for certain portions of the Guarantee as a derivative contract under U.S. GAAP; other components are accounted for as a receivable from affiliate.

As of both December 2014 and December 2013, the amount of the guarantee recorded as a derivative receivable in "Financial instruments owned, at fair value" was immaterial.

As of December 2014 and December 2013, the Bank recorded \$36 million and \$47 million, respectively, in "Other assets" with respect to reimbursement for losses associated with representations and warranties made by the Bank prior to the date of the Bank's reorganization. See Note 16 for further discussion of contingencies associated with such representations and warranties.

The Bank recorded gains of \$3 million for 2014 and \$11 million for 2013 in "Gains and losses from financial instruments, net" with respect to the Guarantee. For 2014, the Bank recorded an immaterial amount in "Other revenues" related to the Guarantee. For 2013, the Bank recorded no other gains or losses related to the Guarantee.

Interest Income and Expense

The Bank recognizes interest income and interest expense in connection with various affiliated transactions. These transactions include securities purchased under agreements to resell, securities sold under agreements to repurchase, deposits due to affiliates, other liabilities and accrued expenses, and subordinated borrowings. The Bank recognized net interest income from affiliates of \$55 million for 2014 and \$143 million for 2013.

Other Transactions

The Bank enters into various activities with affiliated entities and allocates revenues to, and receives revenues from, such affiliates for their participation. The Bank allocated net revenues to affiliates of \$358 million for 2014 and \$84 million for 2013. These amounts are included in "Gains and losses from financial instruments, net."

The Bank is subject to service charges from affiliates. The Bank reimbursed affiliates \$485 million for 2014 and \$580 million for 2013 for services rendered. These amounts are included in "Service charges."

The Bank enters into derivative contracts with Group Inc. and its affiliates in the normal course of business. As of December 2014 and 2013, outstanding derivative contracts with Group Inc. and affiliates totaled \$461 million and \$428 million, respectively, in "Financial instruments owned, at fair value," and \$605 million and \$631 million, respectively, in "Financial instruments sold, but not yet purchased, at fair value."

In connection with its partnership interest in MMDP, the Bank has provided to Mitsui Sumitomo additional protection in the form of assets held in a VIE which could be liquidated for the benefit of Mitsui Sumitomo under certain circumstances.

Equity Transactions

During 2014, the Bank recorded \$131 million in non-cash capital contributions. There were no capital contributions during 2013. The contributions recorded for 2014 were related to the transfer of Group Inc.-owned subsidiaries to the Bank.

During 2014, the Bank did not pay dividends to Group Inc. During 2013, the Bank paid dividends of \$2.00 billion to Group Inc.

Note 20. Interest Income and Interest Expense

Interest is recorded over the life of the investment on an accrual basis based on contractual interest rates. The table below presents the Bank's sources of interest income and interest expense.

	Year Ended December			
\$ in millions		2014		2013
Interest income				
Deposits with banks	\$	131	\$	137
Securities purchased under				
agreements to resell		25		14
Financial instruments owned, at fair				
value		727		664
Loans receivable		512		219
Other interest ¹		59		66
Total interest income	\$	1,454	\$	1,100
Interest expense				
Deposits	\$	327	\$	403
Securities sold under agreements to				
repurchase, at fair value		1		7
Financial instruments sold, but not				
yet purchased, at fair value		51		51
Long-term borrowings		22		
Other interest ²		13		(46) ³
Total interest expense	\$	414	\$	415
Net interest income	\$	1,040	\$	685

- Primarily includes interest income on collateral balances posted to counterparties and other interest-earning assets.
- Primarily includes interest expense on collateral balances received from counterparties and other interest-bearing liabilities.
- Relates to net interest earned on the Bank's affiliate borrowing from Group Inc.

Note 21. Employee Incentive Plans and Employee

The cost of employee services received in exchange for a share-based awards is generally measured based on the grant-date fair value of the award. Shared-based awards that do not require future service (i.e., vested awards, including awards granted to retirement-eligible employees) are expensed immediately. Shared-based awards that require future service are amortized over the relevant service period. Expected forfeitures are included in determining share-based employee compensation expense. Group Inc. pays cash dividend equivalents on outstanding restricted

Stock Incentive Plan

stock units (RSUs).

Benefit Plans

Group Inc. sponsors a stock incentive plan, The Goldman Sachs Amended and Restated Stock Incentive Plan (2013) (2013 SIP), which provides for grants of RSUs, restricted stock, dividend equivalent rights, incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, and other share-based awards, each of which may be subject to performance conditions. On May 23, 2013, the 2013 SIP was approved by Group Inc.'s shareholders. The 2013 SIP replaces the Amended and Restated Stock Incentive Plan (SIP) previously in effect, and applies to awards granted on or after the date of approval.

Restricted Stock Units

Group Inc. grants RSUs to employees under the 2013 SIP which are valued based on the closing price of the underlying shares on the date of grant after taking into account a liquidity discount for any applicable post-vesting transfer restrictions. RSUs generally vest and underlying shares of common stock deliver as outlined in the applicable RSU agreements. Employee RSU agreements generally provide that vesting is accelerated in certain circumstances, such as on retirement, death, disability and conflicted employment.

The subsequent amortization of the cost of these RSUs is allocated to the Bank by Group Inc. Delivery of the underlying shares of common stock is conditioned on the grantees satisfying certain vesting and other requirements outlined in the award agreements.

The table below presents the activity related to Group Inc. RSUs granted to the Bank employees.

			V	Veighted	Ave	erage
			Gra	ant-Date	Fai	r Value
	Restricte	ed Stock	0	f Restrict	ed (Stock
	Units Out	tstanding	ι	Jnits Outs	star	nding
-	Future	No Future		Future	No	o Future
	Service	Service		Service		Service
	Required	Required	R	Required	F	Required
Outstanding,						
December 2013	14,918	120,935	\$	114.53	\$	121.58
Granted 1, 2	63,857	53,947		160.87		149.44
Forfeited	(20,279)	(82)		134.76		114.09
Delivered	-	(70,082)		-		123.62
Vested ²	(34,217)	34,217		132.97		132.97
Transfers	40,158	-		125.67		_
Outstanding,						
December 2014	64,437	138,935		149.21		134.17

^{1.} The weighted average grant-date fair value of RSUs granted during 2014 and 2013 was \$155.63 and \$119.98, respectively. The fair value of RSUs granted during 2014 and 2013 includes a liquidity discount of 12.2% and 15.0%, respectively, to reflect post-vesting transfer restrictions of up to 4 years.

Stock Options

Stock options generally vest as outlined in the applicable stock option agreement. No options have been granted since 2008. In general, options expire on the tenth anniversary of the grant date, although they may be subject to earlier termination or cancellation under certain circumstances in accordance with the terms of the applicable stock option agreement and the SIP in effect at the time of grant.

The table below presents the activity related to Group Inc. stock options granted to the Bank employees.

		Weighted Average	Α	ggregate Intrinsic	Weighted Average
	Options	Exercise		Value	Remaining
0	utstanding	Price	(\$ in	millions)	Life (years)
Outstanding,					
December 2013	201,441	\$78.78	\$	20	5.00
Exercised	(177,028)	78.78	•	-	-
Outstanding, December 2014	24,413	78.78		3	4.00
Exercisable, December 2014	24,413	78.78		3	4.00

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during 2014 was \$17 million. No options were exercised during 2013.

The table below presents options outstanding.

		Weighted	Weighted
		Average	Average
	Options	Exercise	Remaining
Exercise Price	Outstanding	Price	Life (years)
\$ 75.00 - \$ 89.99	24,413	\$ 78.78	4.00
Outstanding,			
December 2014	24,413	78.78	4.00

Total employee share-based compensation expense, net of forfeitures, was \$14 million and \$6 million for 2014 and 2013, respectively.

Defined Benefits Plans

Group Inc. maintains a defined benefit pension plan for substantially all U.S. employees hired prior to November 1, 2003. As of November 2004, this plan was closed to new participants and frozen such that existing participants would not accrue any additional benefits. Group Inc. also maintains unfunded postretirement benefit plans that provide medical and life insurance for eligible retirees and their dependents covered under these programs. These plans do not have a material impact on the Bank's consolidated results of operations.

Defined Contribution Plans

The Bank contributes to Group Inc.'s employer-sponsored defined contribution plans.

^{2.} The aggregate fair value of awards that vested during 2014 and 2013 was \$14 million and \$8 million, respectively.

Note 22. Income Taxes

Provision for Income Taxes

Income taxes are provided for using the asset and liability method under which deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for temporary differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities. The Bank reports interest expense related to income tax matters in "Provision for taxes" and income tax penalties in "Other expenses."

The Bank's results of operations are included in the consolidated federal and certain state tax returns of Group Inc. The Bank computes its tax liability as if it was filing a tax return on a modified separate company basis and settles such liability with Group Inc. pursuant to a tax sharing policy. To the extent the Bank generates tax benefits from losses, it will be reimbursed by Group Inc. pursuant to a tax sharing policy at such time as Group Inc. would have been able to utilize such losses. As of December 2014, the Bank recorded a net tax payable of \$1.11 billion in "Other liabilities and accrued expenses" and deferred tax assets of \$265 million in "Other assets." As of December 2013, the Bank recorded a net tax payable of \$1.19 billion recorded in "Other liabilities and accrued expenses" and deferred tax assets of \$188 million in "Other assets."

For 2014 and 2013, differences between the Bank's statutory tax rate and effective tax rate related primarily to state income taxes.

The tables below present the components of the provision/(benefit) for taxes.

	Year Ended December	
\$ in millions	2014	2013
Current taxes		
U.S. federal	\$ 721	\$ 734
State and local	281	281
Non-U.S.	2	
Total current tax expense	1,004	1,015
Deferred taxes		
U.S. federal	(74)	(44)
State and local	_	(16)
Total deferred tax benefit	(74)	(60)
Provision for taxes	\$930	\$ 955

Deferred Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities. These temporary differences result in taxable or deductible amounts in future years and are measured using the tax rates and laws that will be in effect when such differences are expected to reverse. Valuation allowances are established to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount that more likely than not will be realized. As of December 2014 and December 2013, the Bank did not record a valuation allowance to reduce deferred tax assets. Tax assets and liabilities are presented as a component of "Other assets" and "Other liabilities and accrued expenses," respectively.

The table below presents the components of net deferred tax assets.

	As of December	
\$ in millions		
	2014	2013
Deferred tax assets		
ASC 740 asset related to unrecognized	\$130	\$108
tax benefits		
Reserves	95	68
Unrealized losses	23	_
Compensation and benefits	17	12
Total deferred tax assets	\$265	\$188
Deferred tax liabilities	_	_
Net deferred tax asset	\$265	\$188

Unrecognized Tax Benefits

The Bank recognizes tax positions in the financial statements only when it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained on examination by the relevant taxing authority based on the technical merits of the position. A position that meets this standard is measured at the largest amount of benefit that will more likely than not be realized on settlement. A liability is established for differences between positions taken in a tax return and amounts recognized in the financial statements.

As of December 2014 and December 2013, the Bank recorded a liability for uncertain tax positions of \$234 million and \$192 million, respectively. As of December 2014 and December 2013, the accrued liability for interest expense related to income tax matters was \$59 million and \$37 million, respectively.

Regulatory Tax Examinations

The Bank is subject to examination by the U.S. Internal Revenue Service (IRS) and other taxing authorities in jurisdictions where the Bank has significant business operations, such as New York State and City. The tax years under examination vary by jurisdiction.

The U.S. federal examinations of fiscal 2008 through calendar 2010 were finalized, but the settlement is subject to review by the Joint Committee of Taxation. The examinations of 2011 and 2012 began in 2013. All years including and subsequent to 2006 for New York State and City and for all other significant states in which the Bank is included in a combined tax filing remain open to examination by the taxing authorities. The Bank believes that the liability for unrecognized tax benefits it has established is adequate in relation to the potential for additional assessments.

In January 2013, Group Inc. was accepted into the Compliance Assurance Process program by the IRS. This program allows Group Inc. to work with the IRS to identify and resolve potential U.S. federal tax issues before the filing of tax returns. The 2013 tax year is the first year examined under the program, and remains subject to post-filing review. Group Inc. was also accepted into the program for the 2014 and 2015 tax years.

Note 23. Credit Concentrations

Credit concentrations may arise from the Bank's marketmaking and other activities and may be impacted by changes in economic, industry or political factors. The Bank seeks to mitigate credit risk by actively monitoring exposures and obtaining collateral from counterparties as deemed appropriate.

While the Bank's activities expose it to many different industries and counterparties, the Bank routinely executes a high volume of transactions with asset managers, investment funds, commercial banks, brokers and dealers, clearing houses and exchanges, which results in significant credit concentrations.

In the ordinary course of business, the Bank may also be subject to a concentration of credit risk to a particular counterparty, borrower or issuer, including sovereign issuers, or to a particular clearing house or exchange.

The table below presents the credit concentrations in assets held by the Bank.

\$ in millions	As of December	
	2014	2013
U.S. government and federal agency obligations ¹	\$13,573	\$6,547
% of total assets	11.5%	6.2%

1. Included in "Financial instruments owned, at fair value,"

As of December 2014 and December 2013, the Bank did not have credit exposure to any other counterparty that exceeded 2% of total assets.

To reduce credit exposures, the Bank may enter into agreements with counterparties that permit the Bank to offset receivables and payables with such counterparties and/or enable the Bank to obtain collateral on an upfront or contingent basis. Collateral obtained by the Bank related to derivative assets is principally cash and is held by the Bank or a third-party custodian. Collateral obtained by the Bank related to resale agreements is primarily U.S. government and federal agency obligations. See Note 10 for further information about collateralized agreements and financings.

GOLDMAN SACHS BANK USA AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

The table below presents U.S. government and federal agency obligations that collateralize resale agreements. Because the Bank's primary credit exposure on such transactions is to the counterparty to the transaction, the Bank would be exposed to the collateral issuer only in the event of counterparty default.

	As of December		
\$ in millions	2014	2013	
U.S. government and federal agency			
obligations	\$3,468	\$ 3,977	

Note 24. Subsequent Events

The Bank evaluated subsequent events through March 30, 2015, the date the consolidated financial statements were issued, and determined that there were no material events or transactions that would require recognition or disclosure in these consolidated financial statements. See Note 14 for information on borrowing activity from the Federal Home Loan Bank subsequent to December 2014.